

HOMOGENEOUS NEARLY KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

J. C. GONZÁLEZ DÁVILA AND F. MARTÍN CABRERA

ABSTRACT. The structure of nearly Kähler manifolds was studied by Gray in several papers, mainly in [10]. More recently, a relevant progress on the subject has been done by Nagy. Among other results, he proved that a complete strict nearly Kähler manifold is locally a Riemannian product of homogeneous nearly Kähler spaces, twistor spaces over quaternionic Kähler manifolds and six-dimensional nearly Kähler manifolds, where the homogeneous nearly Kähler factors are also 3-symmetric spaces. In the present paper, using the lists of 3-symmetric spaces given by Wolf&Gray, we display the exhaustive list of irreducible simply connected homogeneous strict nearly Kähler manifolds. For such manifolds, we give details relative to the intrinsic torsion and the Riemannian curvature. Additionally, we determine the canonical fibration for those with special algebraic torsion.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Irreducible nearly Kähler manifolds	4
4. Riemannian 3-symmetric spaces	14
5. Compact irreducible 3-symmetric spaces	18
6. Proof of Theorem 1.1	20
7. Homogeneous nearly Kähler manifolds with special algebraic torsion	26
8. Canonical fibrations	31
References	41

1. INTRODUCTION

Nagy proved in [16] that every complete, simply connected nearly Kähler manifold M is a Riemannian product $M_0 \times M_1 \times \cdots \times M_k$, where M_0 is Kähler and M_i , for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, is an irreducible strict nearly Kähler manifold belonging to the following list: homogeneous nearly Kähler manifolds, twistor spaces over positive quaternionic Kähler manifolds and six-dimensional nearly Kähler manifolds. More concretely, from this paper and using also a result of Butruille [5], relative to six-dimensional homogeneous nearly Kähler manifolds, one obtains the following classification:

Keywords and phrases: nearly Kähler, homogeneous space, 3-symmetric space, intrinsic torsion, minimal connection

2000 MSC: 53C20, 53C30, 53C22

Any simply connected, complete, irreducible and strict nearly Kähler manifold (M^{2n}, g, J) belongs to one of the following classes:

- (i) *Homogeneous NK Type I*: The holonomy representation of the minimal connection $\nabla^{U(n)}$ of the nearly Kähler structure is real irreducible and for $n = 3$, (M, g, J) is moreover holomorphically isometric to $S^6 = G_2/SU(3)$ equipped with the nearly Kähler structure as 3-symmetric space.
- (ii) *Homogeneous NK Type II*: The holonomy representation is complex $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -irreducible and there exists a $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel decomposition $TM = \mathcal{E} \oplus J\mathcal{E}$.
- (iii) *Homogeneous NK Type III*: (M, g, J) has special algebraic torsion (see Section 3) and its horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is complex $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -reducible.
- (iv) *Homogeneous NK Type IV*: (M, g, J) has special algebraic torsion, the base space of the twistor fibration is symmetric and its horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is real $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -irreducible.
- (v) Six-dimensional non-homogeneous nearly Kähler manifolds.
- (vi) Twistor spaces of non-symmetric positive quaternionic Kähler manifolds.

Here the homogeneous nearly Kähler manifolds of Types I, III and IV are defined with light modifications from the corresponding classes given by Nagy in [16]. The definitions here presented seem to be more natural. Furthermore, we will see that they are more naturally agree with the lists of 3-symmetric spaces given by Wolf&Gray in [23] and by Gray in [9]. The class homogeneous NK Type III includes the corresponding original one given by Nagy. However, the set of non-Einstein manifolds included in the class homogeneous NK Type IV is slightly smaller than the set of manifolds included in the corresponding Nagy's class.

Using Proposition 4.4 ((i) equivalent to (v)) and [16, Theorem 2.1] originally due to Cleyton&Swann [7], Nagy proves that nearly Kähler manifolds contained in the classes (I)-(IV), for dimension higher than six, are in fact homogeneous and moreover, they are 3-symmetric spaces where J is the canonical almost complex structure. Afterwards, Butruille completes this result proving that six-dimensional homogeneous strict nearly Kähler are also 3-symmetric spaces.

It is still open the problem exposed by O'Brian and Rawnsley in [18, p. 57] about the existence or not of six-dimensional non-homogeneous nearly Kähler manifolds (see [4]). Since any positive quaternionic Kähler manifold of dimension four is symmetric [12], six-dimensional non-homogeneous nearly Kähler manifolds must admit real irreducible holonomy representation of the minimal connection.

The only known examples of positive quaternionic Kähler manifolds are the so-called *Wolf-spaces*, which are all symmetric and the only homogeneous examples due to Alekseevskii (see [2, Ch. 14]). This motivates the LeBrun-Salamon Conjecture: *Every positive quaternionic Kähler manifold is a Wolf-space*. Then the existence of twistor spaces in (vi) corresponds with the existence of counter-examples of the conjecture. According with [16], such twistor spaces are non-homogeneous nearly Kähler. Therefore, it follows that *any simply connected strict homogeneous nearly Kähler manifold is a compact naturally reductive 3-symmetric space equipped with its canonical complex structure*.

In the present paper, using the lists of 3-symmetric spaces given by Wolf&Gray in [23] (see also [9]), we display the exhaustive list of irreducible simply connected homogeneous strict

nearly Kähler manifolds in each one of these classes, NK Type I-IV. Concretely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *If (M, g, J) is a simply connected homogeneous strict nearly Kähler manifold, then M decomposes as Riemannian product whose factors belong to one of the following classes of homogeneous strict nearly Kähler manifolds:*

- (i) *homogeneous NK Type I: compact irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3IV (Table 3) or holomorphically isometric to $\text{Spin}(8)/[\text{SU}(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3]$;*
- (ii) *homogeneous NK Type II: compact irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type C_3 (Table 4) or holomorphically isometric to $\text{Spin}(8)/G_2$;*
- (iii) *homogeneous NK Type III: compact irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3II (Table 5);*
- (iv) *homogeneous NK Type IV: compact irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3III (Table 6).*

Moreover, we give details relative to the Riemannian curvature and determine the canonical fibration for those ones with special algebraic torsion (NK Types III and IV). It is shown that homogeneous NK of Type III are twistor spaces of irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type, meanwhile those of Type IV are twistor spaces of irreducible non-Hermitian symmetric spaces.

Finally, we would like to note that we also give a list of irreducible simply connected strict nearly Kähler manifolds which are Einstein. To complete such a list, it remains to find those which are six-dimensional, non-homogeneous and $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -holonomy real irreducible, where $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ is the holonomy group of the minimal connection $\nabla^{U(n)}$. The existence of these last manifolds is still an open problem as it was above mentioned.

2. PRELIMINARIES

An *almost Hermitian manifold* (M, g, J) is a $2n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) equipped with an almost complex structure J compatible with the metric. That is, $J^2 = -\text{Id}$ and $g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$, for all vector fields X, Y on M . The presence of an almost Hermitian structure is equivalent to say that M is equipped with a $U(n)$ -structure (its frame bundle admits a reduction to $U(n)$). Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of g . On the set of Hermitian connections, metric connections $\tilde{\nabla}$ such that $\tilde{\nabla}J = 0$, we consider the unique connection $\nabla^{U(n)}$ given by $\nabla^{U(n)} = \nabla + \xi$, where $\xi \in T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{u}(n)^\perp$, i.e. $\xi J + J\xi = 0$. $\nabla^{U(n)}$ is called the *minimal $U(n)$ -connection* and ξ is referred to as the *intrinsic torsion* of the $U(n)$ -structure, which can be computed explicitly as (see [24])

$$\xi_X Y = -\frac{1}{2}J(\nabla_X J)Y. \quad (2.1)$$

Then, $\xi = 0$ if and only if J is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, that is, M is Kählerian.

An almost Hermitian manifold $(M, g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, J)$ is called *nearly Kähler* if J satisfies $(\nabla_X J)X = 0$, for all vector fields X on M , or equivalently, the intrinsic torsion is totally skew-symmetric. On nearly Kähler manifolds, ξ is parallel with respect to the minimal connection $\nabla^{U(n)}$ (see [8, 13]) and we have

$$\langle R_{XY}Z, W \rangle - \langle R_{XY}JZ, JW \rangle = 4\langle \xi_X Y, \xi_Z W \rangle, \quad (2.2)$$

where R is the Riemannian curvature of M with the sign convention $R_{XY} = \nabla_{[X,Y]} - [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]$. For studying the curvature R is useful to consider the usual Ricci curvature tensor Ric associated to the metric structure, $\text{Ric}(X, Y) = \langle \text{Ric } X, Y \rangle = \langle R_{X e_i} Y, e_i \rangle$, and another tensor Ric^* , called the **-Ricci curvature tensor*, associated to the almost Hermitian structure and defined by $\text{Ric}^*(X, Y) = \langle \text{Ric}^* X, Y \rangle = \langle R_{X e_i} JY, J e_i \rangle$, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ is a local frame field. For sake of simplicity the summation convention will be used throughout the exposition. However, the sum will be explicitly written when a risk of ambiguity appears. For nearly Kähler manifolds the tensor Ric^* is symmetric and

$$\text{Ric}(J \cdot, J \cdot) = \text{Ric}(\cdot, \cdot), \quad \text{Ric}^*(J \cdot, J \cdot) = \text{Ric}^*(\cdot, \cdot).$$

From (2.2), the difference $r = \text{Ric} - \text{Ric}^*$ satisfies

$$r(X, Y) = \langle rX, Y \rangle = 4\langle \xi_X e_i, \xi_Y e_i \rangle = -4 \text{trace } \xi_X \circ \xi_Y.$$

Then, it is a positive semidefinite symmetric form. Moreover, the following formula holds [10, 22]

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \langle r e_i, e_j \rangle (\langle R_{X e_i} Y, e_j \rangle - 5 \langle R_{X e_i} JY, J e_j \rangle) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

for all X, Y in TM . The tensor r has other strong geometric properties: It commutes with J and $\nabla^{U(n)} r = 0$ (see [15]). Then its eigenvalues are constants.

If for all $m \in M$ and $u \in T_m M$ with $u \neq 0$ we have $\nabla_u J \neq 0$, or equivalently $\xi_u \neq 0$, we say that the nearly Kähler manifold (M, g, J) is *strict*. Thus a strict nearly Kähler manifold could be to be considered as the opposite of a Kähler manifold. Here, r becomes into a positive symmetric form and its eigenvalues are all different from zero. For the complete case, a strict nearly Kähler manifold has positive Ricci curvature, hence it is compact with a finite fundamental group [15, Theorem 1.1]. Also in [15] it is proved that any nearly Kähler manifold might be decomposed locally (or globally, if it is complete and simply connected) into the Hermitian product of a Kähler manifold and a strict nearly Kähler manifold. Thus, the study of nearly Kähler manifolds can be reduced to the strict case. Note that irreducible complete and simply connected non-Kähler nearly Kähler manifolds are strict and so, they are compact.

We also recall that the first Chern class [10] of (M, J) is represented by the closed two-form γ_1 defined by $8\pi\gamma_1 = \langle CX, JY \rangle$ for all X, Y in TM . Here C denotes the symmetric endomorphism $\text{Ric} - 5\text{Ric}^*$. It is $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel and commutes with J . As γ_1 is a closed form it is obtained

$$C\xi_X Y + \xi_X C Y + \xi_{CX} Y = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Hence, if C has single eigenvalue, then $C = 0$ and $\text{Ric} = \frac{5}{4}r$.

3. IRREDUCIBLE NEARLY KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

In this section, we expose some results given by Nagy [16], including further details, and another new results.

Definition 3.1. [16] Let (M, g, J) be a complete strict nearly Kähler manifold. It is said to have *special algebraic torsion* if there exists a $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel orthogonal decomposition $TM = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{H}$ are stable by J , $\xi_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{V} = 0$ and $\xi_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{V}$.

It is not hard to check that the condition $\xi_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{V}$ can be replaced by $\xi_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Since $\mathcal{V}$ is $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel and $\xi_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{V} = 0$, it is an integrable distribution. If (M, g, J) has special algebraic torsion, then there exists a Riemannian manifold (N, h) and a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers $\pi : M \rightarrow N$, called the *canonical* fibration, whose vertical distribution equals $\mathcal{V}$. With respect to the induced metric and almost complex structures, each fiber is a Hermitian symmetric space of compact type [16, Proposition 4.2]. Moreover, taking a new metric $\bar{g}$ on M given by $\bar{g} = 2g_{\mathcal{V}} \oplus g_{\mathcal{H}}$, where $g_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $g_{\mathcal{H}}$ denote the restrictions of the metric g to the distributions $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, respectively, and an almost complex structure $\bar{J}$ by setting $\bar{J}_{\mathcal{V}} = -J$ and $\bar{J}_{\mathcal{H}} = J$, Nagy proved in [16, Proposition 4.3] that $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{J})$ is a simply connected Kähler manifold of positive Ricci curvature. Hence nearly Kähler manifolds with special algebraic torsion are *twistor spaces* (complex manifolds fibering over a real manifold such that the fibres are complex submanifolds [4]) over Riemannian manifolds, which are also compact and, because the fibers are connected, simply connected.

Along this section we will assume that $(M^{2n}, g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, J)$ is a connected, complete and irreducible (as Riemannian manifold) strict nearly Kähler manifold. If it has special algebraic torsion, the fibre of the canonical fibration is irreducible [16, see Theorem 4.1] and recall that the base space N has to be irreducible, too. Moreover, (M^{2n}, g, J) is ([16, see Sections 5 and 6]):

- (i) homogeneous nearly Kähler if and only if N is a symmetric space.
- (ii) non-homogeneous space if and only if N is a non-symmetric positive quaternionic Kähler manifold. In this case, M is the twistor bundle on N consisting of local almost complex structures compatible with the quaternionic structure and $\dim \mathcal{V} = 2$.

According with [16, Theorem 3.1], the notion of special algebraic torsion can be characterised in terms of holonomy: (M, g, J) has special algebraic torsion if and only if the holonomy representation of the minimal connection $\nabla^{U(n)}$ is complex reducible.

For the complex irreducible case, the following possibilities may occur:

- (i) the $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -holonomy representation is (real) irreducible;
- (ii) there exists an irreducible $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel distribution $\mathcal{V}$ of M such that $TM = \mathcal{V} \oplus J\mathcal{V}$.

Nearly Kähler manifolds (M, g, J) satisfying (i), at least for dimension higher than six, or (ii) are homogeneous nearly Kähler. In fact, they are 3-symmetric spaces, J is their canonical complex structure and correspond in the classification given in Section 1 with the *homogeneous NK Type I*, including $S^6 = G_2 / SU(3)$, and *homogeneous NK Type II*, respectively.

Proposition 3.2. *On strict nearly Kähler manifolds (M, g, J) with complex irreducible $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -holonomy representation, the first Chern class of (M, J) vanishes, r is parallel and g is positive Einstein metric.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}$ be an eigenbundle of r corresponding to some eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$. Since r is $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel, $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}$ is a $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel distribution. Hence, if the $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -holonomy representation is real irreducible, one obtains that $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda} = TM$. If the $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -holonomy representation is not real irreducible, taking into account that $J\mathcal{E}_{\lambda} = \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}$, it follows that $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda} \cap \mathcal{V}$ is a non-zero $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel distribution and so, $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}$ must be all TM . In both cases, λ is the unique eigenvalue of r . Now, the result follows using the next Lemma 3.3, a consequence from (2.3) (see [10], [15]). $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *If the tensor r on a strict nearly Kähler manifold (M, g, J) has exactly one eigenvalue, then (M, g) is a positive Einstein manifold. Moreover, the first Chern class of (M, J) vanishes and r is parallel.*

Remark 3.4. Also r is parallel on nearly Kähler manifolds such that r has exactly two eigenvalues, one of which is zero [10, Theorem 4.13]. In particular, r is parallel for $\dim M \leq 8$.

In [16] the following lemma was proved for $\mathcal{L} = TM$. Here we rebuilt the argument for a more general situation.

Lemma 3.5. *For a nearly Kähler manifold, let $\mathcal{L} \subseteq TM$ be a $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel distribution which is $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -reducible. If one has an $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ and X in $\mathcal{F}$, Y in TM and V, W in $\mathcal{E}$, then*

$$R^{U(n)}(X, Y, V, W) = 4(\langle [\xi_V, \xi_W]X, Y \rangle - \langle \xi_X Y, \xi_V W \rangle), \quad (3.5)$$

where $R^{U(n)}$ denotes the curvature tensor of the minimal connection $\nabla^{U(n)}$.

Furthermore, if the decomposition $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ is stable by J , then we have the following consequences of the previous identity:

- (i) $\xi_{X_1} \xi_{V_1} V_2 = 0$, $\xi_{V_1} \xi_{X_1} X_2 = 0$; (ii) $\xi_{X_1} \xi_{X_2} X_3 \in \mathcal{F}$, $\xi_{V_1} \xi_{V_2} V_3 \in \mathcal{E}$;
 - (iii) $\xi_{V_1} \xi_{V_2} X_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, $\xi_{X_1} \xi_{X_2} V_1 \in \mathcal{E}$,
- for all X_1, X_2, X_3 in $\mathcal{F}$ and V_1, V_2, V_3 in $\mathcal{E}$.

In particular, if we consider $TM = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^\perp$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is stable by J and $\mathcal{L}^\perp$ is its orthogonal complement, then

$$\xi_{X_1} \xi_{X_2} X_3, \xi_{V_1} \xi_{V_2} X_1 \in \mathcal{L}, \quad \xi_{V_1} \xi_{V_2} V_3, \xi_{X_1} \xi_{X_2} V_1 \in \mathcal{L}^\perp,$$

for all $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \mathcal{L}$ and $V_1, V_2, V_3 \in \mathcal{L}^\perp$.

Proof. Let us recall the relation (see [10, page 237]) :

$$R^{U(n)}(X, Y, Z, T) = R(X, Y, Z, T) - 2\langle \xi_X Y, \xi_Z T \rangle + \langle \xi_X Z, \xi_Y T \rangle - \langle \xi_X T, \xi_Y Z \rangle. \quad (3.6)$$

The first Bianchi identity is given by $R(X, Y, V, W) + R(Y, V, X, W) + R(V, X, Y, W) = 0$. Also we have $R^{U(n)}(Y, V, X, W) = \langle R^{U(n)}(Y, V)X, W \rangle = 0$. Note that $R^{U(n)}(Y, V)X \in \mathcal{F}$ and $W \in \mathcal{E}$. Therefore, using (3.6), it is obtained

$$R(Y, V, X, W) = 2\langle \xi_Y V, \xi_X W \rangle - \langle \xi_Y X, \xi_V W \rangle + \langle \xi_Y W, \xi_V X \rangle.$$

An analogous formula is obtained for $R(V, X, Y, W)$. Such a formula is given by

$$R(V, X, Y, W) = -R(Y, W, X, V) = -2\langle \xi_Y W, \xi_X V \rangle + \langle \xi_Y X, \xi_W V \rangle - \langle \xi_Y V, \xi_W X \rangle.$$

Finally, from these last two formulas, the first Bianchi identity and equation (3.6), the required equation follows.

Next let us see (i). From Equation (3.5) we have

$$R^{U(n)}(X_1, Z, V_1, V_2) - 4\langle [\xi_{V_1}, \xi_{V_2}]X_1, Z \rangle = 4\langle \xi_{X_1} \xi_{V_1} V_2, Z \rangle,$$

for all Z in TM . Now taking $R^{U(n)}(JX_1, JZ, V_1, V_2) = R^{U(n)}(X_1, Z, V_1, V_2)$ and the algebraic properties of the tensor ξ into account, it follows

$$4\langle \xi_{X_1} \xi_{V_1} V_2, Z \rangle = -4\langle \xi_{JX_1} \xi_{V_1} V_2, JZ \rangle = 4\langle \xi_{X_1} \xi_{V_1} V_2, Z \rangle = 0.$$

Reversing the roles of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$, we obtain that $\xi_{V_1} \xi_{X_1} X_2 = 0$.

Now we see (ii). Using (i) we have $\langle \xi_{V_1} \xi_{X_1} X_2, X_3 \rangle = 0$, for all X_3 in $\mathcal{F}$. Therefore, $\langle \xi_{X_3} \xi_{X_1} X_2, V_1 \rangle = 0$. Thus $(\xi_{X_3} \xi_{X_1} X_2)_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{F}$, where $Z_{\mathcal{L}}$ denotes the projection of Z on $\mathcal{L}$. Similarly, we will obtain $(\xi_{V_1} \xi_{V_2} V_3)_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{E}$. Now we apply the facts here proved to $TM = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\perp}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{L}$. Therefore,

$$\xi_{X_3} \xi_{X_1} X_2 = (\xi_{X_3} \xi_{X_1} X_2)_{TM} \in \mathcal{L}, \quad \xi_{V_1} \xi_{V_2} V_3 = (\xi_{V_1} \xi_{V_2} V_3)_{TM} \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Finally,

$$\xi_{X_3} \xi_{X_1} X_2 = (\xi_{X_3} \xi_{X_1} X_2)_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \xi_{V_1} \xi_{V_2} V_3 = (\xi_{V_1} \xi_{V_2} V_3)_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{E}.$$

For (iii) we choose $Y = V_3$ in Equation (3.5), we have

$$R^{U(n)}(X_1, V_3, V_1, V_2) = 4\langle [\xi_{V_1}, \xi_{V_2}] X_1, V_3 \rangle + 4\langle \xi_{X_1} \xi_{V_1} V_2, V_3 \rangle = 4\langle [\xi_{V_1}, \xi_{V_2}] X_1, V_3 \rangle.$$

Since $R^{U(n)}(X, V_3, V_1, V_2) = \langle R^{U(n)}(V_1, V_2) X_1, V_3 \rangle = 0$ and, by (ii), $[\xi_{V_1}, \xi_{V_2}] X_1$ is in $\mathcal{L}$, we get that $[\xi_{V_1}, \xi_{V_2}] X_1$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}$. But $[\xi_{V_1}, \xi_{V_2}] JX_1 = -\xi_{V_1} \xi_{V_2} X_1 - \xi_{V_2} \xi_{V_1} X_1$ is equally in $\mathcal{F}$. The remaining identity follows by reversing the roles of the distributions $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$. $\square$

Because we will need later, we recall the following two results proved in [16, see Lemma 3.1, Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 5.1].

Lemma 3.6. *For a nearly Kähler manifold with special algebraic torsion, we have:*

- (i) $(\nabla_U^{U(n)} R^{U(n)})(V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4) = 0$;
- (ii) $R^{U(n)}(X, U, V_1, V_2) = 4(\langle [\xi_{V_1}, \xi_{V_2}] X, U \rangle - \langle \xi_X U, \xi_{V_1} V_2 \rangle)$,

for all $U \in TM$, $V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4 \in \mathcal{V}$ and $X \in \mathcal{H}$.

Note that (ii) follows from Equation (3.5) taking $\mathcal{L} = TM = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$.

On complete irreducible nearly Kähler manifolds with special algebraic torsion, the eigenvalues of the tensors Ric , r and C on $\mathcal{H}$ are ruled by the following result.

Lemma 3.7. *The base manifold (N, h) is Einstein with Einstein constant $\mu > 0$ and, furthermore,*

$$\text{Ric} + \frac{r}{4} = \mu 1_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{3}{2}r - \frac{1}{4}C$$

on $\mathcal{H}$. As a consequence the tensors r , C and Ric have the same eigenbundles on $\mathcal{H}$. If $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is one such a eigenbundle associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda(r)$, $\lambda(C)$ and $\lambda(\text{Ric})$ of the tensors r , C and Ric , respectively, then

$$4\mu = 4\lambda(\text{Ric}) + \lambda(r) = 6\lambda(r) - \lambda(C). \quad (3.7)$$

Now, we have already available the necessary tools to prove next result.

Proposition 3.8. *Let (M, g, J) be a complete, simply connected, irreducible strict nearly Kähler manifold. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) r has exactly one eigenvalue;
- (ii) the first Chern class of (M, J) vanishes, i.e. $C = 0$;
- (iii) r is ∇ -parallel;
- (iv) C is ∇ -parallel;
- (v) (M, g) is Einstein.

Besides Lemmas 3.3 and 3.7, we shall need the next lemmas for getting its proof.

Lemma 3.9. *Let (M, g, J) be strict nearly Kähler manifold. If $\nabla C = 0$ then $C = 0$.*

Proof. Let $u \in TM$ such that $Cu = \lambda u$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\nabla C = 0$ one gets $C\xi_X Y = \xi_X CY$. Then (2.4) implies that $C\xi_u X = -\frac{\lambda}{2}\xi_u X$. Because (M, g, J) is strict there exists $X \in TM$ such that $\xi_u^2 X = -c^2 X$, for some $c \neq 0$. Hence X and $\xi_u X$ are eigenvectors of C with eigenvalue $-\frac{\lambda}{2}$. Repeating the same process for X , one gets $C\xi_X u = \frac{\lambda}{4}\xi_X u$. Hence $\xi_u X$ also belongs to the eigenspace with eigenvalue $\frac{\lambda}{4}$. Then λ must be zero and so, $C = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.10. [16] *Let (M, g, J) be a complete, simply connected, strict nearly Kähler manifold. If C has a single eigenvalue, then it splits as a Riemannian product whose factors are strict nearly Kähler manifolds such that their corresponding tensors C and r have exactly one eigenvalue.*

Proof of Proposition 3.8. Using Lemma 3.3, we have (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). From Lemma 3.10, (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows using [10, Corollary 4.12]. From Lemma 3.9, (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) is deduced. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (v) follows from Lemma 3.3. Finally, we prove (v) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). From Proposition 3.2, we only have to consider the case when (M, g, J) has special algebraic torsion. Then the result follows from Lemma 3.7. $\square$

In what follows we focus on irreducible strict nearly Kähler manifolds with special algebraic torsion. The fact that C is $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel and symmetric, together with the irreducibility of the fiber implies that there exists a real constant λ such that $C = \lambda 1_{\mathcal{V}}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ (because of the irreducibility, only one eigenspace is possible on $\mathcal{V}$). This leads to the following:

Proposition 3.11. *Let (M, g, J) be a complete irreducible nearly Kähler manifolds with special algebraic torsion. Then, for the tensor C , we have:*

- (i) *the restriction of C to $\mathcal{V}$ has only one eigenvalue λ ;*
- (ii) *the restriction of C to $\mathcal{H}$ has at the most two eigenvalues: $\lambda_1 = -\frac{\lambda}{2} - \rho$ and $\lambda_2 = -\frac{\lambda}{2} + \rho$, for some $\rho \geq 0$. In particular, if there is one single eigenvalue for C on $\mathcal{H}$, then it is equal to $-\frac{\lambda}{2}$. On the other hand, if λ_1 and λ_2 are distinct and associated to the eigenspaces $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$, respectively, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$, $\xi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E} = \xi_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F} = 0$, $\xi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{V}$, $\xi_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}$, $\xi_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}$.*
- (iii) *C has at most three eigenvalues on TM . In particular, if C has only one eigenvalue, then $\lambda = 0$.*

Proof. We only need to show (ii). Since $\mathcal{V}$ is an eigenspace of C with eigenvalue λ , the identity (2.4) implies that $S\xi_V X + \xi_V SX = 0$, whenever V, X are in $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ respectively, where $S = C + \frac{\lambda}{2}1_{\mathcal{H}}$ on $\mathcal{H}$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{L}_V^{\mathcal{H}}$ the projection of the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_V$ on $\mathcal{H}$. The facts that S^2 is $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel and $\mathcal{L}_V^{\mathcal{H}} S^2 = 0$, for all V in $\mathcal{V}$, imply that S^2 projects on a symmetric, ∇^h -parallel endomorphism of N , where ∇^h denotes the Levi-Civita connection of (N, h) . Such an endomorphism has to be a multiple of identity by the irreducibility of N . Hence $S^2 = \rho^2 1_{\mathcal{H}}$, for some $\rho \geq 0$. This implies

$$(C + (\frac{\lambda}{2} + \rho)1_{\mathcal{H}})(C + (\frac{\lambda}{2} - \rho)1_{\mathcal{H}}) = 0.$$

Therefore, C has at most two distinct eigenvalues on $\mathcal{H}$, $\lambda_1 = -\frac{\lambda}{2} - \rho$ and $\lambda_2 = -\frac{\lambda}{2} + \rho$. In particular, if $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and $X_1 \in \mathcal{E}$, one has

$$C\xi_V X_1 = -\lambda\xi_V X_1 - \lambda_1\xi_V X_1 = \lambda_2\xi_V X_1 \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_2} = \mathcal{F},$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda_2}$ is the eigenbundle associated to the eigenvalue λ_2 . Thus, we have $\xi_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and, by a similar proof, $\xi_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$. From this it is immediate that $\xi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E} = \xi_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F} = 0$. In fact, for all $X_1, Y_1 \in \mathcal{E}$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}$, one has

$$\langle \xi_{X_1} Y_1, V \rangle = \langle \xi_V X_1, Y_1 \rangle = 0.$$

Finally, $\xi_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}$ implies $\xi_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}$ and $\xi_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}$ and $\xi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{V}$. $\square$

We will give some further descriptions of the possible eigenvalues of the tensors r , Ric and C . For such a purpose, the following technical result is useful.

Lemma 3.12. *For $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$, we have*

$$r(X, Y) = 8\langle \xi_{e_i} X, \xi_{e_i} Y \rangle = 8\langle \xi_{x_j} X, \xi_{x_j} Y \rangle,$$

where $\{e_i\}$ and $\{x_j\}$ are local orthonormal frames in $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, respectively.

In particular, when there is only one eigenvalue k for r on $\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$2l \dim \mathcal{V} = k \dim \mathcal{H}, \quad (3.8)$$

where l is the unique eigenvalue of r on $\mathcal{V}$.

Moreover, if there is an orthogonal $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ stable by J where $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are eigenbundles associated to the eigenvalues k and m (not necessarily distinct) of r such that $\xi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E} = \xi_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F} = 0$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} r(V, W) &= 8\langle \xi_{y_{j_1}} V, \xi_{y_{j_1}} W \rangle = 8\langle \xi_{z_{j_2}} V, \xi_{z_{j_2}} W \rangle, & \text{for } V, W \in \mathcal{V}, \\ r(X_1, Y_1) &= 8\langle \xi_{e_i} X_1, \xi_{e_i} Y_1 \rangle = 8\langle \xi_{z_{j_2}} X_1, \xi_{z_{j_2}} Y_1 \rangle, & \text{for } X_1, Y_1 \in \mathcal{E}, \\ r(X_2, Y_2) &= 8\langle \xi_{e_i} X_2, \xi_{e_i} Y_2 \rangle = 8\langle \xi_{y_{j_1}} X_2, \xi_{y_{j_1}} Y_2 \rangle, & \text{for } X_2, Y_2 \in \mathcal{F}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_i\}$, $\{y_{j_1}\}$ and $\{z_{j_2}\}$ are local orthonormal frames in $\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$, respectively. Furthermore, we also have

$$l \dim \mathcal{V} = k \dim \mathcal{E} = m \dim \mathcal{F}. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. For $X \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$r(X, X) = 4\left(\sum_i \langle \xi_X e_i, \xi_X e_i \rangle + \sum_j \langle \xi_X x_j, \xi_X x_j \rangle\right).$$

But, using the fact that $\xi_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{V}$, we get

$$\sum_i \langle \xi_X e_i, \xi_X e_i \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle \xi_X e_i, x_j \rangle \langle x_j, \xi_X e_i \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle \xi_X x_j, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, \xi_X x_j \rangle = \sum_j \langle \xi_X x_j, \xi_X x_j \rangle.$$

It proves the first equality. In particular, if there is only one eigenvalue on $\mathcal{H}$, it follows

$$l \dim \mathcal{V} = r(e_i, e_i) = 4\langle \xi_{x_j} e_i, \xi_{x_j} e_i \rangle = \frac{1}{2}r(x_j, x_j) = \frac{1}{2}k \dim \mathcal{H}.$$

For the rest, we use the identities $\xi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E} = \xi_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F} = 0$, $\xi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{V}$, $\xi_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}$ and $\xi_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}$ and the corresponding arguments are similar. $\square$

Lemma 3.13. *For a nearly Kähler manifold (M^{2n}, g, J) , if $\mathcal{L} \subseteq TM$ is a stable by J and complex $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -reducible distribution, then $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$ are non-zero orthogonal $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel distributions such that they are stable by J and $\xi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. The reducibility of $\mathcal{L}$ implies the existence of a $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel decomposition $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_1$ stable by J . Let $\mathcal{F}_0$ be the distribution generated by elements of the form $(\xi_V W)_{\mathcal{F}_1}$, where V, W belong to $\mathcal{E}_1$ and the subscript denotes orthogonal projection on $\mathcal{F}_1$. Using Lemma 3.5 (i) we get

$$\xi_X \xi_Y (\xi_V W)_{\mathcal{E}_1} + \xi_X \xi_Y (\xi_V W)_{\mathcal{F}_1} + \xi_X \xi_Y (\xi_V W)_{\mathcal{L}^\perp} = \xi_X \xi_Y (\xi_V W) = \xi_X 0 = 0,$$

whenever X, Y are in $\mathcal{F}_1$. Now, using Lemma 3.5, it follows that the first summand belongs to $\mathcal{E}_1$, the second is in $\mathcal{F}_1$ and third in $\mathcal{L}^\perp$. Therefore, each summand vanishes, $\xi_X \xi_Y (\xi_V W)_{\mathcal{E}_1} = \xi_X \xi_Y (\xi_V W)_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \xi_X \xi_Y (\xi_V W)_{\mathcal{L}^\perp} = 0$. This implies that $\xi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathcal{F}_0 = 0$. In fact, if $X \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $(\xi_V W)_{\mathcal{F}_1} \in \mathcal{F}_0$, then we compute

$$\langle \xi_X (\xi_V W)_{\mathcal{F}_1}, \xi_X (\xi_V W)_{\mathcal{F}_1} \rangle = -\langle \xi_X \xi_X (\xi_V W)_{\mathcal{F}_1}, (\xi_V W)_{\mathcal{F}_1} \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore, $\xi_X (\xi_V W)_{\mathcal{F}_1} = 0$.

As $\mathcal{F}_0$ is contained in $\mathcal{F}_1$, we get that $\xi_{\mathcal{F}_0} \mathcal{F}_0 = 0$. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}_0$ is $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel and stable by J . In fact, using $\nabla^{U(n)} \xi = 0$, one has

$$\nabla_Z^{U(n)} (\xi_V W)_{\mathcal{F}_1} = (\xi_{\nabla_Z^{U(n)} V} W)_{\mathcal{F}_1} + (\xi_V \nabla_Z^{U(n)} W)_{\mathcal{F}_1} \in \mathcal{F}_0.$$

From all of this, if $\mathcal{F}_0 \neq 0$, we may take $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}_0$. On the other hand, if $\mathcal{F}_0 = 0$, then $\xi_{\mathcal{E}_1} \mathcal{E}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_1$ and we set $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1$. Therefore, we have obtained a $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ such that $\xi_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$ are non-zero and stable by J . $\square$

Proposition 3.14. *Let (M^{2n}, g, J) be an complete, simply connected irreducible strict nearly Kähler manifold with special algebraic torsion. Then we have:*

- (i) *The distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is complex $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -reducible if and only if $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$ are non-zero orthogonal ∇ -parallel distributions such that they are stable by J , $\xi_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E} = \xi_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F} = 0$ and $\xi_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{V}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are real irreducible $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -spaces.*
- (ii) *The distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is complex $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -irreducible if and only if $\mathcal{H}$ is real $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -irreducible.*

Proof. Let us see part (i). By making use of Lemma 3.13, if $\mathcal{H}$ is complex $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -reducible, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$ are non-zero orthogonal $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel distributions such that they are stable by J and $\xi_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$. Since $\xi_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{V}$, we have $\xi_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E} = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{V} = \xi_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{F} \oplus \xi_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}$.

Let us suppose that $\xi_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F} \neq \{0\}$. We recall that $\mathcal{V}$ is a stable by J and real $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -irreducible, because we are assuming our manifold M is complete irreducible strict nearly Kähler with special algebraic torsion (see [16]). Therefore, $\xi_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{V}$. Thus, the distribution $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ can respectively play the roles of vertical and horizontal distribution for the special algebraic torsion condition. In such a case, the fiber F of the canonical fibration is not irreducible, because $TF = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{E}$ where $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}$ are non-zero. Hence M would be not irreducible, contradiction. Hence it follows $\xi_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F} = \{0\}$. As a consequence, $\mathcal{V} = \xi_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{F}$.

The converse is immediate.

The final assertion of part (i) follows from the fact that M is irreducible and both distributions, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ can play the role of vertical distribution.

Now we prove part (ii). Let us suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is a complex irreducible but real reducible as $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -space. Therefore, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{E} \oplus J\mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a non-zero $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel distribution.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{\dim \mathcal{V}}\}$ be an orthonormal basis for (real) vectors in $\mathcal{V}$ and $X \in \mathcal{E}$. Because $\mathcal{E}$ is $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel, $R^{U(n)}(e_i, Je_i)X$ is in $\mathcal{E}$. Now using Equation (3.5) and Lemma 3.12, we have

$$\sum_i R^{U(n)}(e_i, Je_i)X = 8 \sum_i \xi_{e_i} \xi_{e_i} JX = -r JX.$$

But since $\mathcal{H}$ is complex irreducible and r is Hermitian, there is a single positive eigenvalue k of r on $\mathcal{H}$. Hence $r JX = k JX$. Thus JX is in $\mathcal{E} \cap J\mathcal{E} = \{0\}$. This implies $X = 0$, for all X in $\mathcal{E}$. Contradiction. $\square$

Definition 3.15. A complete irreducible strict nearly Kähler manifold is *homogeneous NK Type III*, if it has special algebraic torsion and the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is complex $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -reducible.

Proposition 3.16. *If (M, g, J) is homogeneous NK Type III, then (M, g) is a homogeneous space and the base space of the canonical fibration is a simply connected, compact and irreducible symmetric space.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.14, we have three different splittings of special algebraic type $TM = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, $TM = \mathcal{E} \oplus (\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{F})$, $TM = \mathcal{F} \oplus (\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{E})$ each of which being $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel and stable by J . It follows by Lemma 3.6 (i) that the restriction of the tensor $\nabla_U^{U(n)} R^{U(n)}$ to either $\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{E}$ or $\mathcal{F}$ vanishes. Moreover, because our distributions are $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel we already know, for all $U, U' \in TM$, $V, W \in \mathcal{V}$, $X_1 \in \mathcal{E}$ and $X_2 \in \mathcal{E}$

$$R^{U(n)}(U, U', V, X_1) = 0, \quad R^{U(n)}(U, U', V, X_2) = 0, \quad R^{U(n)}(U, U', X_1, X_2) = 0.$$

Finally, for all $V, W \in \mathcal{V}$, $X_1, Y_1 \in \mathcal{E}$ and $X_2, Y_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, by Lemma 3.6 (ii), one has

$$\begin{aligned} R^{U(n)}(V, W, X_1, Y_1) &= -R^{U(n)}(X_1, V, W, Y_1) - R^{U(n)}(W, X_1, V, Y_1) \\ &= -4\langle \xi_V W, \xi_{X_1} Y_1 \rangle - 4\langle \xi_{X_1} V, \xi_W Y_1 \rangle - 4\langle \xi_W X_1, \xi_V Y_1 \rangle \\ &= 4\langle \xi_V X_1, \xi_W Y_1 \rangle - 4\langle \xi_W X_1, \xi_V Y_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one has also

$$\begin{aligned} R^{U(n)}(V, W, X_2, Y_2) &= 4\langle \xi_V X_2, \xi_W Y_2 \rangle - 4\langle \xi_W X_1, \xi_V Y_1 \rangle, \\ R^{U(n)}(X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2) &= 4\langle \xi_{X_1} X_2, \xi_{Y_1} Y_2 \rangle - 4\langle \xi_{Y_1} X_2, \xi_{X_1} Y_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla^{U(n)} \xi = 0$, all of this implies $\nabla^{U(n)} R^{U(n)} = 0$. Therefore, $\nabla^{U(n)}$ is an Ambrose-Singer connection. Hence, taking into account that (M, g) is a connected, simply connected and complete Riemannian manifold, it is a homogenous space [21]. The claim that the base space of the canonical fibration is symmetric follows by comparison between curvature tensors of the total and the base space (see [2]). In fact, if (N, h) is the base manifold with Levi-Civita connection ∇^h associated to the metric h , the corresponding Riemannian curvature R^h and $X^{\mathcal{H}}$ denotes the horizontal lift of $X \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$, then

$$0 = \langle (\nabla_{X^{\mathcal{H}}}^{U(n)} R^{U(n)})_{X^{\mathcal{H}} Y^{\mathcal{H}}} Z^{\mathcal{H}}, Z^{\mathcal{H}} \rangle = \langle ((\nabla_{X'}^h R^h)_{X Y} Z)^{\mathcal{H}}, Z^{\mathcal{H}} \rangle,$$

for all $X, X', Y, Z, Z' \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$. This implies $\nabla^h R^h = 0$. Then, because N is simply connected, it follows that (N, h) is symmetric. $\square$

Definition 3.17. A complete irreducible strict nearly Kähler manifold is *homogeneous NK Type IV*, if it has special algebraic torsion, the base space of the twistor fibration is symmetric and the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is real $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -irreducible.

From the fact that the base manifold is symmetric it follows $\nabla^{U(n)} R^{U(n)} = 0$ [16]. Therefore, a homogeneous NK Type IV manifold is homogeneous as it is expected.

Remark 3.18. In the case that (M^{2n}, g, J) is a complete irreducible non-homogeneous nearly Kähler manifolds with special algebraic torsion (a twistor space of a non-symmetric positive quaternionic Kähler manifold [16]), by Proposition 3.14 (ii), the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is real $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -irreducible.

Finally, we give further details relative to the eigenvalues of the tensors r , Ric and C .

Proposition 3.19. *Let (M, g, J) be a complete irreducible nearly Kähler manifolds with special algebraic torsion. Then we have:*

(i) *If $\mathcal{H}$ is a complex $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -reducible space, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are stable by J , $\xi_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E} = \xi_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F} = 0$ and non-zero $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -spaces such that consisting of eigenvectors of the tensors r , C and Ric associated to eigenvalues (not necessarily distinct) which satisfy the following table*

<i>Eigenvalue</i>	r	Ric	C	<i>Eigenbundle</i>
λ	l	$\frac{1}{4}(l + 2k + 2m)$	$2(2l - k - m)$	$\mathcal{V}$
λ_1	k	$\frac{1}{4}(2l + k + 2m)$	$2(-l + 2k - m)$	$\mathcal{E}$
λ_2	m	$\frac{1}{4}(2l + 2k + m)$	$2(-l - k + 2m)$	$\mathcal{F}$

The eigenvalues l , k and m are positive constants satisfying Equation (3.9). The Einstein constant of the base manifold is given by $2\mu = l + k + m$.

(ii) *If $\mathcal{H}$ is a complex irreducible $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -space or complex reducible as in the previous case but with $k = m$, then the tensors r , C and Ric have two eigenvalues (not necessarily distinct) and the bundles $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ consist of eigenvectors associated to the first and second eigenvalue, respectively, as it is indicated in the following table.*

<i>Eigenvalue</i>	r	Ric	C	<i>Eigenbundle</i>
λ	l	$\frac{1}{4}(l + 4k)$	$4(l - k)$	$\mathcal{V}$
λ_1	k	$\frac{1}{4}(2l + 3k)$	$2(k - l)$	$\mathcal{H}$

The eigenvalues l and k are positive constants satisfying Equation (3.8). The Einstein constant μ of the base manifold is given by $2\mu = l + 2k$.

Proof. The distribution $\mathcal{V}$ is a real irreducible $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -space. In fact, if $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2$, where $\mathcal{V}_i$ are $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel, then we also have $\nabla_V W = \nabla_V^{U(n)} W \in \mathcal{V}_i$, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and $W \in \mathcal{V}_i$, $i = 1, 2$. This implies that the distributions $\mathcal{V}_i$ are parallel for the Levi Civita connection ∇ on a maximal leaf of $\mathcal{V}$ (we recall that the leaf is a totally geodesic submanifold of M). Hence $\mathcal{V}$ is not irreducible with respect to such a Levi Civita connection, contradiction.

Since $\mathcal{V}$ is a real irreducible $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -space and C is a $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel tensor, then $\mathcal{V}$ is contained in a single eigenbundle $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ of C , $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_\lambda$. In other case, for some eigenvalue λ , we would have $\mathcal{E}_\lambda \cap \mathcal{V} \neq \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ would not be $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -irreducible.

By Proposition 3.14, if $\mathcal{H}$ is complex reducible as a $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -space, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ in the conditions written in the statement. Therefore, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are real irreducible as $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -spaces. This implies that there is only one eigenvalue for the tensor C on each of them. Thus, the situation is that C has at the most three eigenvalues, namely, λ , λ_1 and λ_2 (not necessarily distinct) such that $\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ consist of eigenvectors for λ , λ_1 and λ_2 , respectively. Moreover, $\lambda = -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$.

Now we recall the expression for the Ricci curvature of (M, g) obtained by Nagy in [15]. If $\mathcal{E}_i, 1 \leq i \leq p$ are the eigenspaces of r corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_i then

$$\text{Ric}(X, Y) = \frac{\lambda_i}{4} \langle X, Y \rangle + \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \sum_{s=1}^p \lambda_s \langle r^s(X), Y \rangle, \quad (3.10)$$

for all X and Y in V_i , where the tensors $r^s, 1 \leq s \leq p$ are defined by

$$\langle r^s(X), Y \rangle = -\text{trace}_{\mathcal{E}_s}(\nabla_X J)(\nabla_Y J) = 4 \sum_{j_s=1}^{\dim \mathcal{E}_s} \langle \xi_X e_{j_s}, \xi_Y e_{j_s} \rangle.$$

(Note that formula (3.10) is also true for a decomposition $TM = \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}_p$ into eigenbundles $\mathcal{E}_i$ corresponding to λ_i , not necessarily distinct, such that the bundles $\mathcal{E}_i$ are $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel and stable by J .) Then, using Lemma 3.12 and the expression for the Ricci tensor given by (3.10), we have that the eigenvalues of Ric corresponding to $\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$, respectively, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(V, W) &= \frac{l}{4} \langle V, W \rangle + \frac{1}{l} \left(\frac{k}{2} r(V, W) + \frac{m}{2} r(V, W) \right) = \frac{l+2k+2m}{4} \langle V, W \rangle, \\ \text{Ric}(X_1, Y_1) &= \frac{k}{4} \langle X_1, Y_1 \rangle + \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{l}{2} r(X_1, Y_1) + \frac{m}{2} r(X_1, Y_1) \right) = \frac{2l+k+2m}{4} \langle X_1, Y_1 \rangle, \\ \text{Ric}(X_2, Y_2) &= \frac{m}{4} \langle X_2, Y_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{l}{2} r(X_2, Y_2) + \frac{k}{2} r(X_2, Y_2) \right) = \frac{2l+2k+m}{4} \langle X_2, Y_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now taking the identity (3.7) and $\lambda = -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ into account, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= 4l - 2k - 2m = 8\mu - 6(k + m), \quad \lambda_1 = -2l + 4k - 2m = 6k - 4\mu, \\ \lambda_2 &= -2l - 2k + 4m = 6m - 4\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $2\mu = l + k + m$. From all of this the table given in (i) follows. In particular, if $k = m$, the table given in (ii) is obtained.

For (ii). If $\mathcal{H}$ is $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -irreducible, then $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_1}$, where λ_1 is an eigenvalue for C . Now, by Proposition 3.11, it follows that $\lambda_1 = -\frac{\lambda}{2}$. Therefore, $C = \lambda \langle \pi_{\mathcal{V}} \cdot, \pi_{\mathcal{V}} \cdot \rangle - \frac{\lambda}{2} \langle \pi_{\mathcal{H}} \cdot, \pi_{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \rangle$, where $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}$ are the projections of TM on $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, respectively. The same argument is valid to claim that r has only one eigenvalue l on $\mathcal{V}$ and another only one k on $\mathcal{H}$, where l and k are positive constants.

Applying the expression for the Ricci tensor given by (3.10) and Lemma 3.12, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(V, W) &= \frac{l}{4} \langle V, W \rangle + \frac{k}{l} r(V, W) = \frac{l+4k}{4} \langle V, W \rangle, \quad \text{for } V, W \in \mathcal{V}; \\ \text{Ric}(X, Y) &= \frac{k}{4} \langle X, Y \rangle + \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{l}{2} r(X, Y) + \frac{k}{2} r(X, Y) \right) = \frac{2l+3k}{4} \langle X, Y \rangle, \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

To compute the eigenvalue λ of C and the Einstein constant μ of the base manifold, we use the identity (3.7) and obtain $4\mu = 4\frac{2l+3k}{4} + k$. From this the required expressions for μ and λ follow. $\square$

Remark 3.20. In both cases, (i) and (ii) in the previous Proposition, when the total manifold is Einstein, it is satisfied that $\mu = \frac{3}{2}k$ and the tensors r , Ric and C have a single eigenvalue satisfying the already known relations (Proposition 3.8) given by the following table

<i>Eigenvalue</i>	r	Ric	C	<i>Eigenbundle</i>
λ	k	$\frac{5}{4}k$	0	TM

Finally, note that from Equations (3.8) and (3.9), Proposition 3.19, the tables given in [16, Proposition 5.2 and Remark 5.1] are deduced. However, we do not require distinct eigenvalues. Also some details of the table for three eigenvalues must be corrected in [16]. In fact, if $2d = \dim \mathcal{V}$, $2d_1 = \dim \mathcal{E}$ and $2d_2 = \dim \mathcal{F}$, for homogeneous NK Type III spaces one obtains

<i>Eigenvalue</i>	r	Ric	C	<i>Eigenbundle</i>
λ	$\frac{d_1}{d}k$	$\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d_1}{2d} + 1 + \frac{d_1}{d_2})k$	$2(\frac{2d_1}{d} - 1 - \frac{d_1}{d_2})k$	$\mathcal{V}$
λ_1	k	$\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d_1}{d} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{d_1}{d_2})k$	$2(-\frac{d_1}{d} + 2 - \frac{d_1}{d_2})k$	$\mathcal{E}$
λ_2	$\frac{d_1}{d_2}k$	$\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d_1}{d} + 1 + \frac{d_1}{2d_2})k$	$2(-\frac{d_1}{d} - 1 + \frac{2d_1}{d_2})k$	$\mathcal{F}$

4. RIEMANNIAN 3-SYMMETRIC SPACES

We recall that a connected $2n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called a *3-symmetric space* [9] if it admits a family of isometries $\{\theta_p\}_{p \in M}$ of (M, g) satisfying

- (i) $\theta_p^3 = I$,
- (ii) p is an isolated fixed point of θ_p ,
- (iii) the tensor field Θ defined by $\Theta = (\theta_p)_{*p}$ is of class C^∞ ,
- (iv) $\theta_{p*} \circ J = J \circ \theta_{p*}$,

where J is the *canonical almost complex structure* associated with the family $\{\theta_p\}_{p \in M}$ given by $J = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(2\Theta + I)$.

Riemannian 3-symmetric spaces are characterised by a triple $(M = G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) G is a connected Lie group and σ is an automorphism of G of order 3,
- (2) K is a closed subgroup of G such that $G_o^\sigma \subseteq K \subseteq G^\sigma$, where $G^\sigma = \{x \in G \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$ and G_o^σ denotes its identity component,
- (3) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an $\text{Ad}(K)$ - and σ -invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ker } \phi$, where ϕ is the endomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ given by $\phi = 1 + \sigma + \sigma^2$.

We shall say that G/K is a *3-symmetric coset space* if there exists σ satisfying (1) and (2). Here and in the sequel, σ and its differential σ_* on $\mathfrak{g}$ and on the complexification $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ are denoted by the same letter σ . Then it is a reductive homogeneous space with reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$, where the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of K is $\text{Im } \phi = \mathfrak{g}^\sigma = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \sigma X = X\}$. The

inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induces a G -invariant Riemannian metric g on $M = G/K$ and $(G/K, g)$ becomes into a Riemannian 3-symmetric space, where the symmetry θ_o at the origin $o \in M$ is given by $\theta_o(gK) = \sigma(g)K$, for all $g \in G$. Since the canonical almost complex structure J on G/K is G -invariant, 3-symmetric spaces are almost Hermitian homogeneous spaces determined by the $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant automorphism on $\mathfrak{m}$, which we denote by the same letter, given by

$$J = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(2\sigma|_{\mathfrak{m}} + Id_{\mathfrak{m}}). \quad (4.11)$$

Under the canonical identification of $\mathfrak{m}$ with $T_o G/K$, we have the following [9].

$$[JX, JY]_{\mathfrak{k}} = [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{k}}, \quad [JX, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}} = -J[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}. \quad (4.12)$$

In addition to the minimal $U(n)$ -connection of the canonical almost Hermitian structure (J, g) of a Riemannian 3-symmetric space, we may consider the *canonical connection* (see [17]) of the 3-symmetric coset space G/K adapted to the reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ and furthermore, the *canonical connection of the 3-symmetric space*, treated as a regular s -manifold (see [14]). Next we prove that these three connections coincide.

Proposition 4.1. *The minimal connection $\nabla^{U(n)}$ of the $U(n)$ -structure determined by the canonical almost complex structure of a Riemannian 3-symmetric space $(M = G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ coincides with the canonical connection with respect to the reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$. Moreover, $\nabla^{U(n)}$ is also the canonical connection of the regular s -structure.*

Proof. For each $X \in \mathfrak{m}$, denote by X^* the G -invariant vector field defined on a small neighborhood of the origin o of $M = G/K$ such that $X_o^* = X$, under the identification $\mathfrak{m} \cong T_o M$. Then $[X^*, Y^*]_o = [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where $[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}$ denotes the $\mathfrak{m}$ -component of $[X, Y]$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$ and, at the origin, we have

$$2\langle \nabla_X Y^*, Z \rangle = -\langle X, [Y, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle - \langle Y, [X, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle + \langle Z, [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle,$$

for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}$. Hence, using (4.12), $\xi_X Y = -\nabla_X Y^*$ and so, $\nabla_X^{U(n)} Y^* = 0$. It implies that $\nabla^{U(n)}$ is the canonical connection of M with respect to the reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$. Since any G -invariant tensor field on M is then parallel with respect to $\nabla^{U(n)}$ [14, Proposition I.11], we have $\nabla^{U(n)} \Theta = 0$. Hence, taking into account that $\nabla^{U(n)}$ is invariant with respect to all θ_p , it follows from [14, Theorem II.17] that $\nabla^{U(n)}$ is also the canonical connection of the s -structure. $\square$

Remark 4.2. Then we have $\nabla^{U(n)} T^{U(n)} = \nabla^{U(n)} R^{U(n)} = 0$, where $T^{U(n)}$ and $R^{U(n)}$ denote the torsion and the curvature tensor of the minimal connection $\nabla^{U(n)}$ respectively, and its intrinsic torsion ξ is a homogeneous structure [21].

The tensor fields $T^{U(n)}$ and $R^{U(n)}$ are G -invariant and they are given by

$$T^{U(n)}(X, Y) = -[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, \quad R_{XY}^{U(n)} = \text{ad}_{[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{k}}}. \quad (4.13)$$

The canonical almost complex structure of a Riemannian 3-symmetric space is *quasi-Kählerian* (ξ satisfies $\xi_X Y + \xi_{JX} JY = 0$, for all vector fields X, Y) and it is nearly Kählerian if and only if $(G/K, g)$ is a naturally reductive homogeneous space with adapted reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ [9]. In general, a homogeneous Riemannian manifold $(M = G/K, g)$ is said to

be *naturally reductive*, or more precisely, *G-naturally reductive*, if there exists a reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ satisfying

$$\langle [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Z \rangle + \langle [X, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y \rangle = 0,$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product induced by g on $\mathfrak{m}$. From Proposition 4.1 and (4.13), the intrinsic torsion of a naturally reductive 3-symmetric space is determined by

$$\xi_X Y = -\frac{1}{2}[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}. \quad (4.14)$$

Remark 4.3. The converse of Proposition 4.1 also holds (see [6] for a proof): *A quasi-Kählerian homogeneous manifold $(M = G/K, g, J)$ such that the minimal connection coincides with the canonical connection with respect to a given reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ is a 3-symmetric space.*

Next we display a characterization result for naturally reductive 3-symmetric spaces which is well-known.

Proposition 4.4. *If (M, g, J) is a complete, connected and simply connected nearly Kähler manifold, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *M is a 3-symmetric space and J is the canonical almost complex structure;*
- (ii) *$(\nabla_X R)_{XJXXJX} = 0$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$;*
- (iii) *the intrinsic torsion ξ is a homogeneous structure;*
- (iv) *$\nabla^{U(n)} R = 0$;*
- (v) *$\nabla^{U(n)} R^{U(n)} = 0$;*
- (vi) *$(\nabla_X R)_{YZ} = R_{\xi_X YZ} + R_{Y \xi_X Z} - [\xi_X, R_{YZ}]$.*

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) is a consequence from [9, Theorems 4.6 and 4.7 and Corollary 3.11]. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is satisfied for any Riemannian 3-symmetric space (see Remark 4.2). Because $\nabla^{U(n)} g = \nabla^{U(n)} \xi = 0$, we get (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vi). Finally, since $\xi_X X = 0$ and $\xi_X J = -J\xi_X$, (vi) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). $\square$

The group $\mathcal{H}(M)$ of holomorphic isometries of a Riemannian 3-symmetric space (M, g) with respect to its canonical almost complex structure acts transitively on M [9, Theorem 4.8]. Therefore, M can be written as the coset space G^*/K^* , where G^* is the identity component $\mathcal{H}_o(M)$ of $\mathcal{H}(M)$ and K^* is the isotropy subgroup of a point o of M . The triple $(M = G^*/K^*, \sigma^*, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, where σ^* is the map sending g to $\theta_o \circ g \circ \theta_o^{-1}$, for $g \in G^*$, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product determined by g on $\mathfrak{m} = T_o M$, satisfies (1), (2) and (3). Thus G^*/K^* becomes into a 3-symmetric coset space [9, Theorem 5.4]. We may also consider the closure $\text{Cl}(\{\theta_p\})$ of the group generated by the set $\{\theta_p \mid p \in M\}$ in $\mathcal{H}(M)$. From Proposition 4.1 and [14, Theorem II.32], $\text{Cl}(\{\theta_p\})$ coincides with the transvection group of the affine reductive space $(M, \nabla^{U(n)})$. It is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(M)$ and acts transitively on M . Hence, if we denote by G the identity component of $\text{Cl}(\{\theta_p\})$ and K its isotropy subgroup at o , we have another 3-symmetric coset expression G/K for M with corresponding automorphism σ of order 3 given by $\sigma = \sigma|_G^*$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $(M = G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a simply connected Riemannian 3-symmetric space and J its canonical almost complex structure. Suppose that G is connected semisimple and acts effectively on the coset space $M = G/K$. Then we have:*

- (i) The holonomy group $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ of the minimal connection $\nabla^{U(n)}$ coincides with the isotropy subgroup K , as acting by the adjoint representation.
- (ii) G is the identity component of the transvection group $\text{Cl}(\{\theta_p\})$ of $(M, \nabla^{U(n)})$.
- (iii) If the identity component $\mathcal{H}_o(M)$ of the group of holomorphic isometries of (M, g, J) is semisimple, then $G = \mathcal{H}_o(M)$.

Proof. From Proposition 4.1, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ of the holonomy group $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ (with reference point at the origin o) is spanned by all endomorphisms of the form $R_{XY}^{U(n)}$, for all $X, Y \in T_o M$. Moreover, under the canonical identification of $\mathfrak{m}$ with $T_o M$, $R_{XY}^{U(n)}$ can be expressed as $\text{ad}_{[X, Y]}^{\mathfrak{k}}$, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$ (see Remark 4.2). Since the linear isotropy representation of K in the tangent space $T_o M$ is faithful, $\mathfrak{hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ coincides precisely with the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}$ generated by all projections $[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{k}}$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$.

The subspace $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m} + [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, we can consider the orthogonal complement $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the Killing form. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is also an ideal and is contained in $\mathfrak{k}$. Since G is effective on M , we conclude that $\mathfrak{a}$ reduces to 0 and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}$. Now, because M is simply connected, and K and $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ are connected, we get (i). From here one obtains that G is the transvection group of the affine reductive space $(M, \nabla^{U(n)})$ (see [14, Theorem I.25]). This proves (ii).

Finally we shall show (iii). Denote by G^* the identity connected component $\mathcal{H}_o(M)$ of $\mathcal{H}(M)$ and K^* the isotropy subgroup of G^* at $o = \{K\}$. Since G acts effectively on M , G is a Lie subgroup of G^* and $K \subset K^*$. Let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\mathfrak{k}^*$ be the Lie algebras of G, K, G^* and K^* , respectively.

The symmetry θ_o at o is determined by $\theta_o \circ \pi = \pi \circ \sigma$, where π is the canonical projection $G \rightarrow M$. Then we have $\sigma(g) = \theta_o \circ g \circ \theta_o^{-1}$, for all $g \in G$. The extension σ^* of σ to G^* becomes G^*/K^* in another 3-symmetric coset space of M (see [9, Proposition 5.1]). If G^* is semisimple, then from (i) $K = K^*$. In a similar way, we obtain $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{m}^* + [\mathfrak{m}^*, \mathfrak{m}^*]$. Taking into account that the corresponding ϕ^* for σ^* , $\phi^* = 1 + \sigma^* + (\sigma^*)^2$, satisfies $\phi|_{\mathfrak{g}} = \phi$, one gets $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}^*$ and so $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}^*$. Hence we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^*$. $\square$

Remark 4.6. In the previous Theorem, a tensor field on M is $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -parallel if and only if it is G -invariant [14, Proposition I.38].

Corollary 4.7. Let $(M = G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Riemannian 3-symmetric space and J its canonical almost complex structure. Suppose that G is a connected, compact Lie group acting effectively on M and $\text{rank } K = \text{rank } G$. Then we have:

- (i) The holonomy group $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ of the minimal connection $\nabla^{U(n)}$ coincides with the isotropy subgroup K , as acting by the adjoint representation;
- (ii) G coincides with the identity component of the group of holomorphic isometries of (M, g, J) .

Proof. K is connected and M is simply connected [23, Proposition 4.1]. Moreover, because K contains the center of G and the action is effective, it follows that G is semisimple. Then (i) is proved by using Theorem 4.5 (i).

Next we can consider the decomposition $M = M_1 \times \cdots \times M_r$, where $M_i = G_i/K_i$, $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_r$, $K = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_r$, being G_i , $i = 1, \dots, r$, the simple normal subgroups of G and $K_i = K \cap G_i$. Because $\text{rank } G_i = \text{rank } K_i$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, it follows that each M_i is an

irreducible 3-symmetric space with associated inner automorphism σ_i , where $\sigma = \sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_r$, and hence, σ is also an inner automorphism. Denote as before by G^* the identity connected component $\mathcal{H}_o(M)$ of $\mathcal{H}(M)$, K^* the isotropy subgroup of G^* at the origin and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\mathfrak{k}^*$ the corresponding Lie algebras. Then the extension σ^* of σ to G^* is also an inner automorphism of order 3 and so, $\text{rank } G^* = \text{rank } K^*$. Hence G^* must be semisimple and (ii) follows from Theorem 4.5 (iii). $\square$

5. COMPACT IRREDUCIBLE 3-SYMMETRIC SPACES

We shall also need some general results of complex simple Lie algebras. See [11] for more details. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let Δ denote the set of non-zero roots of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ a system of simple roots or a basis of Δ . Because the restriction of the Cartan-Killing form B of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ to $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is non-degenerate, there exists a unique element $H_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $B(H, H_{\alpha}) = \alpha(H)$, for all $H \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, we have $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{C}H_{\alpha}$ and B is strictly positive definite on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{R}H_{\alpha}$. Put $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = B(H_{\alpha}, H_{\beta})$. We choose root vectors $\{E_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$, such that for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ we have

$$\begin{cases} [E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}] = H_{\alpha}, & [H, E_{\alpha}] = \alpha(H)E_{\alpha}, \quad \text{for } H \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}; \\ [E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}] = 0, & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha + \beta \notin \Delta; \\ [E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}] = N_{\alpha, \beta}E_{\alpha + \beta}, & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \in \Delta, \end{cases} \quad (5.15)$$

where the constants $N_{\alpha, \beta}$ satisfy $N_{\alpha, \beta} = -N_{-\alpha, -\beta}$, $N_{\alpha, \beta} = -N_{\beta, \alpha}$. If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Delta$ and $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$, then

$$N_{\alpha, \beta} = N_{\beta, \gamma} = N_{\gamma, \alpha}. \quad (5.16)$$

Moreover, given an α -series $\beta + n\alpha$ ($p \leq n \leq q$) containing β , then

$$(N_{\alpha, \beta})^2 = \frac{q(1-p)}{2} \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle. \quad (5.17)$$

For this choice, if $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, then E_{α} and E_{β} are orthogonal under B , $B(E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}) = 1$ and we have the orthogonal direct sum

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{C}E_{\alpha}.$$

Denote by Δ^+ the set of positive roots of Δ with respect to some lexicographic order in Π . Then each $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ may be written as

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^l n_i(\alpha) \alpha_i,$$

where $n_i(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n_i(\alpha) \geq 0$, for all $i = 1, \dots, l$. The $\mathbb{R}$ -linear subspace $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (\mathbb{R} U_{\alpha}^0 + \mathbb{R} U_{\alpha}^1)$$

is a compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\mathfrak{h} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbb{R} \sqrt{-1} H_{\alpha}$ and $U_{\alpha}^0 = E_{\alpha} - E_{-\alpha} = -U_{-\alpha}^0$ and $U_{\alpha}^1 = \sqrt{-1}(E_{\alpha} + E_{-\alpha}) = U_{-\alpha}^1$. Next, we put $N_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ if $\alpha + \beta \notin \Delta$. Then $N_{\alpha, \beta} \neq 0$ if and only if $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta$ and, using (5.15), one gets

Lemma 5.1. *For all $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$ and $a = 0, 1$, the following equalities hold:*

- (i) $[U_{\alpha}^a, \sqrt{-1}H_{\beta}] = (-1)^{a+1} \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle U_{\alpha}^{a+1}$;
- (ii) $[U_{\alpha}^0, U_{\alpha}^1] = 2\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha}$;

Type	σ	m_i	$\Pi(H)$
A_3I	$\text{Ad}_{\exp \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{3}H_i}$	1	$\{\alpha_k \in \Pi \mid k \neq i\}$
A_3II	$\text{Ad}_{\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{(H_i+H_j)}{3}}$	$m_i = m_j = 1$	$\{\alpha_k \in \Pi \mid k \neq i, k \neq j\}$
A_3III	$\text{Ad}_{\exp \frac{4\pi\sqrt{-1}}{3}H_i}$	2	$\{\alpha_k \in \Pi \mid k \neq i\}$
A_3IV	$\text{Ad}_{\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}H_i}$	3	$\{\alpha_k \in \Pi \mid k \neq i\} \cup \{-\mu\}$

TABLE 1.

(iii) $[U_\alpha^a, U_\beta^b] = (-1)^{ab}N_{\alpha,\beta}U_{\alpha+\beta}^{a+b} + (-1)^{a+b}N_{-\alpha,\beta}U_{\alpha-\beta}^{a+b}$, where $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $a \leq b$.

For each $\sqrt{-1}H \in \mathfrak{h}$, it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}_{\exp \sqrt{-1}H} U_\alpha^0 &= \cos \alpha(H) U_\alpha^0 + \sin \alpha(H) U_\alpha^1, \\ \text{Ad}_{\exp \sqrt{-1}H} U_\alpha^1 &= \cos \alpha(H) U_\alpha^1 - \sin \alpha(H) U_\alpha^0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

A compact irreducible Riemannian 3-symmetric space $(M = G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ has one of the following forms [23]:

Type A_3 : G is a compact connected simple Lie group acting effectively and σ is an inner automorphism on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G .

Let $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i \alpha_i$ be the *highest root* of Δ and consider $H_i \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, defined by

$$\alpha_j(H_i) = \frac{1}{m_i} \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, l.$$

Note that

$$[\sqrt{-1}H_i, U_\alpha^a] = (-1)^a \frac{n_i(\alpha)}{m_i} U_\alpha^{a+1}. \quad (5.19)$$

Following [23, Theorem 3.3], each inner automorphism of order 3 on $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ is conjugate in the inner automorphism group of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ to some $\sigma = \text{Ad}_{\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}H}$, where $H = \frac{1}{3}m_i H_i$ with $1 \leq m_i \leq 3$ or $H = \frac{1}{3}(H_i + H_j)$ with $m_i = m_j = 1$. Then there are four classes of $\sigma = \text{Ad}_{\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}H}$ with corresponding simple root systems $\Pi(H)$ for $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^\sigma$, Types A_3I - A_3IV given in Table 1. Denote by $\Delta^+(H)$ the positive root system generated by $\Pi(H)$. Then we have $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ and

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+(H)} (\mathbb{R} U_\alpha^0 + \mathbb{R} U_\alpha^1).$$

Because $B(U_\alpha^a, U_\beta^b) = -2\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ab}$, it follows that $\{U_\alpha^a \mid a = 0, 1, \alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+(H)\}$ becomes into an orthonormal basis for $(\mathfrak{m}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}B|_{\mathfrak{m}})$.

Type B_3 : G is a compact simple Lie group and the complexification $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is of Dynkin type $\mathfrak{d}_4$ and σ is an outer automorphism on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Type C_3 : $G = L \times L \times L$, where L is a compact simple Lie group and σ on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{l}$ is given by $\sigma(X, Y, Z) = (Z, X, Y)$. Here $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}^\sigma = \{(X, X, X) \in \mathfrak{g} \mid X \in \mathfrak{l}\}$.

Lemma 5.2. *If σ is of Type A_3 , then J defined as in (4.11) satisfies*

$$JU_\alpha^0 = \varepsilon(\alpha)U_\alpha^1, \quad JU_\alpha^1 = -\varepsilon(\alpha)U_\alpha^0,$$

for all $\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+(H)$, where $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \pm 1$.

Proof. Let $\sqrt{-1}H \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\sigma = \text{Ad}_{\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}H}$ as before. For each $\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+(H)$ we have $\alpha(H) = \frac{1}{3}n_i(\alpha)$, if $H = \frac{1}{3}m_iH_i$, $1 \leq m_i \leq 3$, and $\alpha(H) = \frac{1}{3}(n_i(\alpha) + n_j(\alpha))$, if $H = \frac{1}{3}(H_i + H_j)$, $m_i = m_j = 1$. Hence it follows that the possible values for $\alpha(H)$ are $\frac{1}{3}$, $\frac{2}{3}$ and 1. But $\alpha(H) \neq 1$, because if $\alpha(H) = 1$ one obtains from (5.18) that $\sigma(U_\alpha^0) = U_\alpha^0$. Then the result follows directly using (4.11). $\square$

Next we give the classification of all compact irreducible simply connected 3-symmetric spaces.

Theorem 5.3. *If (M, g) is a compact irreducible simply connected 3-symmetric space, then there exists a unique 3-symmetric quotient expression G/K for M where G is a compact connected Lie group acting effectively on M . In particular, G is the identity component of the group of holomorphic isometries of (M, g) with respect to the canonical complex structure and K is the holonomy group of the minimal connection. The pairs (G, K) are given in Tables 2-6.*

Proof. Let $(M = G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a compact irreducible simply connected 3-symmetric space. If σ is of Type A_3 , the result follows from Corollary 4.7. If σ is of Type B_3 or C_3 , Tojo proves in [20] that the identity component of the isometry group coincides with G and so, $G = \mathcal{H}_o(M)$. For the lists of the pairs (G, K) , see [23, Section 6]. $\square$

Remark 5.4. If (M, g) is not isometric to a symmetric space, G must be the identity component of the isometry group [20].

In Tables, $G/\mathbb{Z}_n$ denotes the quotient of G by a central cyclic subgroup of order n . Irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3I are Hermitian symmetric spaces (Table 2). Another important class of homogeneous spaces is that of *flag manifolds*. These are manifolds of the form G/K , where G is a compact Lie group and K is the centralizer of a torus of G . Then irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3I , together with those of Type A_3II (Table 5) and of Type A_3III (Table 6) are examples of flag manifolds and moreover, because G is semi-simple -in fact, simple- they are all *compact Kählerian G -spaces*. Although $\text{rank } G = \text{rank } K$ also for irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3IV (Table 3), the isotropy subgroup K is not the centralizer of a torus. Here K is semi-simple and its center has order 3 [23].

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We shall need some previous results. First, recall that (M, g, J) in Theorem 1.1 is a compact naturally reductive 3-symmetric space equipped with its canonical complex structure. So, in what follows, we always focus on simply connected irreducible 3-symmetric spaces $(M = G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ as in Theorem 5.3 which are not of Type A_3I . Moreover, the homogeneous Riemannian manifold $(M = G/K, g)$ is supposed to be naturally reductive with adapted reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$, or equivalently, the canonical Hermitian structure (J, g) is (strict) nearly Kähler. According with [8], the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is then the restriction to $\mathfrak{m}$ of a bi-invariant product on $\mathfrak{g}$. Because $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, we take $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}B|_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where B denotes the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The differential of the *isotropy action*, i.e. the action of K as a subgroup of G , determines a linear representation of K on the tangent space T_oM at the origin o , called the *isotropy*

G	K
$SU(n)/\mathbb{Z}_n, n \geq 2$	$S(U(r) \times U(n-r))/\mathbb{Z}_n$
$SO(2n+1), n \geq 2$	$SO(2n-1) \times SO(2)$
$Sp(n)/\mathbb{Z}_2, n \geq 3$	$U(n)/\mathbb{Z}_2$
$SO(2n)/\mathbb{Z}_2, n \geq 4$	$\{SO(2(n-1)) \times SO(2)\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$
$SO(2n)/\mathbb{Z}_2, n \geq 4$	$U(n)/\mathbb{Z}_2$
$E_6/\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\{SO(10) \times SO(2)\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$
$E_7/\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\{E_6 \times T^1\}/\mathbb{Z}_3$

TABLE 2. Type A_3I . Hermitian symmetric spaces

G	K
G_2	$SU(3)$
F_4	$\{SU(3) \times SU(3)\}/\mathbb{Z}_3$
$E_6/\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\{SU(3) \times SU(3) \times SU(3)\}/\{\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3\}$
$E_7/\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\{SU(3) \times [SU(6)/\mathbb{Z}_2]\}/\mathbb{Z}_3$
E_8	$\{SU(3) \times E_6\}/\mathbb{Z}_3$
E_8	$SU(9)/\mathbb{Z}_3$

TABLE 3. Type A_3IV

G	K
$Spin(8)$	$SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3$
$Spin(8)$	G_2
$\{L \times L \times L\}/Z(L)$	$L/Z(L)$
$Z(L)$ is the center of L embedded diagonally	

TABLE 4. Types B_3 and C_3

representation which, under the identification $T_oM \cong \mathfrak{m}$, corresponds with the adjoint representation $\text{Ad}(K)$ of K on $\mathfrak{m}$. Using Theorem 5.3, the isotropy subgroup K is precisely the holonomy group of the minimal connection $\nabla^{U(n)}$. Hence the holonomy representation of $\nabla^{U(n)}$ coincides with the isotropy representation.

Proposition 6.1. *A compact irreducible Riemannian 3-symmetric space $(M = G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ of Type A_3IV , B_3 or C_3 is complex isotropy-irreducible. Moreover, the isotropy representation is real irreducible, i.e. (M, g, J) is homogeneous NK Type I if and only if $(M = G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is of Type A_3IV or the universal covering of M is holomorphically isometric to $Spin(8)/[SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3]$.*

Proof. On irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3IV , the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is maximal. Then they are isotropy-irreducible spaces (see [2, Ch. 7]). Also $Spin(8)/[SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3]$ is isotropy irreducible by using a Wolf's result (see [2, Proposition 7.49]).

Next we consider the 3-symmetric space $Spin(8)/G_2$ of Type B_3 . Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complex Lie algebra of type

$$\mathfrak{d}_4 : \begin{array}{c} \overset{1}{\circ} \\ \alpha_1 \end{array} \text{ --- } \begin{array}{c} \overset{2}{\circ} \\ \alpha_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \begin{array}{c} \overset{1}{\circ} \\ \alpha_3 \end{array} \\ \searrow \begin{array}{c} \overset{1}{\circ} \\ \alpha_4 \end{array} \end{array}$$

A set Δ^+ of the positive roots is given by

$$\Delta^+ = \{\alpha_i \ (1 \leq i \leq 4), \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_4, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \mu = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4\}.$$

Let $s : \Pi \rightarrow \Pi$ be the symmetry on $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_4\}$ given by $\alpha_2 \mapsto \alpha_2$, $\alpha_1 \mapsto \alpha_3 \mapsto \alpha_4 \mapsto \alpha_1$ and σ the linear transformation of $\mathfrak{d}_4$ defined by $\sigma(H_\alpha) = H_{s(\alpha)}$, $\sigma(E_\lambda) = E_{s(\lambda)}$, where $\{H_\alpha, E_\lambda : \alpha \in \Pi, \lambda \in \Delta\}$ is a Weyl basis of $\mathfrak{d}_4$ and s is extended to Δ by linearity. Then the set of fixed points of σ on $\mathfrak{d}_4$ is a complex Lie algebra of type $\mathfrak{g}_2$, where a Weyl basis is given by

$$\{H_{\alpha_2}, H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_3} + H_{\alpha_4}; E_{\pm\alpha_2}, E_{\pm(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}, E_{\pm(\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)}, \\ E_{\pm\alpha_1} + E_{\pm\alpha_3} + E_{\pm\alpha_4}, E_{\pm(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} + E_{\pm(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} + E_{\pm(\alpha_2+\alpha_4)}, \\ E_{\pm(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)} + E_{\pm(\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4)} + E_{\pm(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4)}\}.$$

Hence the corresponding real form $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ is generated by

$$\{\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_2}, \sqrt{-1}(H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_3} + H_{\alpha_4}); U_{\alpha_2}^a, U_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4}^a, U_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4}^a, \\ U_{\alpha_1}^a + U_{\alpha_3}^a + U_{\alpha_4}^a, U_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^a + U_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3}^a + U_{\alpha_2+\alpha_4}^a, U_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3}^a + U_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4}^a + U_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4}^a\}_{a=0,1}$$

and on $\mathfrak{m} = (\mathfrak{g}^\sigma)^\perp$, an orthonormal basis with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}B|_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is given by

$$\{h, e_i^a; Jh, Je_i^a \mid i = 1, 2, 3; a = 0, 1\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \sqrt{-6}(H_{\alpha_1} - H_{\alpha_3}), & Jh &= \sqrt{-2}(H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_3} - 2H_{\alpha_4}), \\ e_1^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(U_{\alpha_1}^a - U_{\alpha_3}^a), & Je_1^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(U_{\alpha_1}^a + U_{\alpha_3}^a - 2U_{\alpha_4}^a), \\ e_2^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(U_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^a - U_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3}^a), & Je_2^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(U_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^a + U_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3}^a - 2U_{\alpha_2+\alpha_4}^a), \\ e_3^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(U_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4}^a - U_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4}^a), & Je_3^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(U_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4}^a + U_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4}^a - 2U_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3}^a). \end{aligned}$$

Put $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{R}\{h; e_i^a \mid i = 1, 2, 3; a = 0, 1\}$. Using Lemma 5.1, one can check that $\mathcal{E}$ is $\text{Ad}(G_2)$ -invariant and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{E} \oplus J\mathcal{E}$ is an orthonormal decomposition into invariant and irreducible

subspaces under the isotropy representation of G_2 . From here, $Spin(8)/G_2$ is complex isotropy-irreducible but not real isotropy-irreducible.

Finally, let $(G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a compact 3-symmetric space of Type C_3 , i.e. $G = \{L \times L \times L\}/Z(L)$, where L is a compact simple Lie group and simply connected, $Z(L)$ is its center embedded diagonally and σ on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{l}$ is given by $\sigma(X, Y, Z) = (Z, X, Y)$, where X, Y, Z belongs to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$ of L . Here $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ coincides with $\mathfrak{l}$ embedded diagonally. Let Δ^+ be a system of positive roots of $\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}$. Then an orthonormal basis on $\mathfrak{m} = (\mathfrak{g}^\sigma)^\perp$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}B|_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is given by

$$\{h_\alpha, e_\alpha^a; Jh_\alpha, Je_\alpha^a \mid \alpha \in \Delta^+; a = 0, 1\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_\alpha &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}}(H_\alpha, -H_\alpha, 0), & Jh_\alpha &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{3\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}}(H_\alpha, H_\alpha, -2H_\alpha), \\ e_\alpha^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(U_\alpha^a, -U_\alpha^a, 0), & Je_\alpha^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(U_\alpha^a, U_\alpha^a, -2U_\alpha^a). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{E} \oplus J\mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{R}\{h_\alpha; e_\alpha^a\}$. $\mathcal{E}$ and $J\mathcal{E}$ are $\text{Ad}(\Delta G)$ -invariant subspaces naturally isomorphic as vector spaces with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$. Because $\mathfrak{l}$ is simple, it follows that these subspaces are irreducible under the isotropy representation. $\square$

Proposition 6.2. *If $(M = G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an irreducible 3-symmetric space of Type A_3II or Type A_3III , then (M, g, J) has special algebraic torsion. Moreover, if it is of Type A_3II , then (M, g, J) is homogeneous NK Type III.*

Proof. If σ is of Type A_3II then $m_i = m_j = 1$ ($H = \frac{1}{3}(H_i + H_j)$), for some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, $i < j$. Put

$$\Delta_{p,q} = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid n_i(\alpha) = p, n_j(\alpha) = q\}, \quad 0 \leq p, q \leq 1.$$

Then $\Delta(H) = \Delta_{0,0}$. Consider the subspaces of $\mathfrak{m}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_1 &= \mathbb{R}\{U_\alpha^a \mid \alpha \in \Delta_{1,1}^+; a = 0, 1\}, \\ \mathcal{V}_2 &= \mathbb{R}\{U_\alpha^a \mid \alpha \in \Delta_{1,0}^+; a = 0, 1\}, \\ \mathcal{V}_3 &= \mathbb{R}\{U_\alpha^a \mid \alpha \in \Delta_{0,1}^+; a = 0, 1\}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

Then $\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2 \oplus \mathcal{V}_3$ is an orthogonal decomposition which is stable by J . Concretely, from Lemma 5.2 one gets $JU_\alpha^a = (-1)^{a+1}U_\alpha^{a+1}$, for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{1,1}^+$, and $JU_\alpha^a = (-1)^aU_\alpha^{a+1}$, for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{1,0}^+ \cup \Delta_{0,1}^+$. We shall show that these subspaces are $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant. Since K is connected, it is equivalent to show that

$$[\mathfrak{k}, \mathcal{V}_k] \subset \mathcal{V}_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3. \tag{6.21}$$

Let $\alpha \in \Delta^+(H)$ and $\beta \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+(H)$. If $\alpha \pm \beta \in \Delta$, then $n_i(\alpha \pm \beta) = \pm n_i(\beta)$ and $n_j(\alpha \pm \beta) = \pm n_j(\beta)$. Hence, using Lemma 5.1, we obtain (6.21).

If $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_{p,q}^+$, for $0 \leq p, q \leq 1$ with $(p, q) \neq (0, 0)$, then $\alpha + \beta \notin \Delta$, and if $\alpha - \beta \in \Delta$ then $\alpha - \beta \in \Delta(H)$. Hence $[\mathcal{V}_k, \mathcal{V}_k] \subset \mathfrak{k}$, $k = 1, 2, 3$. Using again Lemma 5.1, we get $[\mathcal{V}_k, \mathcal{V}_r] \subset \mathcal{V}_s$, where (k, r, s) is a permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$. Now using (4.14) we can conclude

$$\xi_{\mathcal{V}_k} \mathcal{V}_k = 0, \quad \xi_{\mathcal{V}_k} \mathcal{V}_r \subset \mathcal{V}_s.$$

It means that $(M = G/K, g, J)$ is homogeneous NK Type III (see Proposition 3.14 (i)).

Next suppose σ is of Type $A_3 III$. Then $m_i = 2$ ($H = \frac{2}{3}H_i$), for some $i = 1, \dots, l$. Put

$$\Delta_p^+ = \{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \mid n_i(\alpha) = p\}, \quad p = 0, 1, 2.$$

Then $\Delta(H) = \Delta_0$. Consider the subspaces of $\mathfrak{m}$:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}\{U_\alpha^a \mid \alpha \in \Delta_1^+, a = 0, 1\}, \quad \mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}\{U_\alpha^a \mid \alpha \in \Delta_2^+, a = 0, 1\}. \quad (6.22)$$

Then $\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is an orthogonal decomposition stable for J : One gets $JU_\alpha^a = (-1)^a U_\alpha^{a+1}$, for $\alpha \in \Delta_1^+$, and $JU_\alpha^a = (-1)^{a+1} U_\alpha^{a+1}$, for $\alpha \in \Delta_2^+$. A similar proof as before shows that such a decomposition is $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant. Moreover, if $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_1^+$ and $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta$, then $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta_2$, and if $\alpha - \beta \in \Delta$, then $\alpha - \beta \in \Delta(H)$. Hence Lemma 5.1 implies $[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}]_{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \mathcal{V}$. Finally, if $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_2^+$ one gets that $\alpha + \beta \notin \Delta$ and if $\alpha - \beta \in \Delta$, then $\alpha - \beta \in \Delta(H)$. It gives $[\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$. From here $\xi_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{V} = 0$ and $\xi_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{V}$. It proves the result. $\square$

Remark 6.3. Systems of roots of each complex simple Lie algebras are explicitly given in the last section. See also [11].

Now we need to recall the following basic results. As in Section 5, we consider a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ a system of simple roots with respect to a Cartan subalgebra. Let $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i \alpha_i$ be the highest root in the set of non-zero roots Δ generated by π .

Lemma 6.4. *If $\alpha_p, \alpha_q \in \pi$ are adjacent in the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, connected by $n_{p,q}$ arcs and α_p is of higher or equal length to α_q , then*

$$\langle \alpha_p, \alpha_q \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \alpha_p, \alpha_p \rangle = -\frac{n_{p,q}}{2} \langle \alpha_q, \alpha_q \rangle.$$

Proof. It follows taking into account that $n_{p,q} = \frac{4\langle \alpha_p, \alpha_q \rangle^2}{\langle \alpha_p, \alpha_p \rangle \langle \alpha_q, \alpha_q \rangle}$, $\langle \alpha_p, \alpha_p \rangle = n_{p,q} \langle \alpha_q, \alpha_q \rangle$ and the scalar product of two adjacent simple roots is negative. $\square$

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose that on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $m_p = 2$, for some $p \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, and there exists $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^l n_i(\beta) \alpha_i \in \Delta^+$ such that $n_p(\beta) = 1$. We have:*

- (i) *if $\langle \beta, \alpha_p \rangle = k$, then $\beta = \alpha_p$;*
- (ii) *if $\beta \neq \alpha_p$, then $\langle \beta, \alpha_p \rangle = 0$, or $\langle \beta, \alpha_p \rangle = \frac{k}{2}$, or $\langle \beta, \alpha_p \rangle = -\frac{k}{2}$,*

where $k = \langle \alpha_p, \alpha_p \rangle$.

Proof. As the weight of α_p in the highest root is 2, then using the terminology in [11, Lemma 3.3] we have

$$-a_{\beta, \alpha_p} = -\frac{2\langle \beta, \alpha_p \rangle}{\langle \alpha_p, \alpha_p \rangle} \leq 1.$$

If α_p is of length higher or equal to its adjacent roots, then α_p has at the most three adjacent simple roots α_i , α_j and α_k . Therefore, taking Lemma 6.4 into account, we obtain

$$n_i(\beta) + n_j(\beta) + n_k(\beta) - 2 \leq 1.$$

Thus, if $\beta \neq \alpha_p$, then we have the following alternatives:

- $n_i(\beta) = 1$ and $n_j(\beta) = n_k(\beta) = 0$, then $\langle \beta, \alpha_p \rangle = \frac{k}{2}$;
- $n_i(\beta) = 2$ and $n_j(\beta) = n_k(\beta) = 0$, then $\langle \beta, \alpha_p \rangle = 0$;
- $n_i(\beta) = 2$, $n_j(\beta) = 1$ and $n_k(\beta) = 0$, then $\langle \beta, \alpha_p \rangle = -\frac{k}{2}$;

- $n_i(\beta) = 3$ and $n_k(\beta) = 0$, then $\langle \beta, \alpha_p \rangle = -\frac{k}{2}$.

Hence if $\langle \beta, \alpha_p \rangle = k$, then necessarily $\beta = \alpha_p$.

If α_p is of length shorter than some adjacent root, then there are two possibilities: $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{b}_l$ and $\alpha_p = \alpha_l$, or $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{c}_l$ and $\alpha_p = \alpha_{l-1}$.

If $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{b}_l$ and $\alpha_p = \alpha_l$, then α_l has only one adjacent simple root α_{l-1} . Therefore, we obtain $2n_{l-1}(\beta) - 2 \leq 1$. Thus, if $\beta \neq \alpha_l$, then $n_{l-1}(\beta) = 1$ and $\langle \beta, \alpha_l \rangle = 0$. Hence, if we have a positive root $\beta = \cdots + \alpha_l$, such that $\langle \beta, \alpha_l \rangle = k$, then necessarily $\beta = \alpha_l$.

If $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{c}_l$ and $\alpha_p = \alpha_{l-1}$, then α_{l-1} has two adjacent simple roots α_{l-2} and α_l . Hence we obtain $n_{l-2}(\beta) + 2n_l(\beta) - 2 \leq 1$. Thus, if $\beta \neq \alpha_{l-1}$, we have the following alternatives:

- $n_{l-2}(\beta) = 1$ and $n_l(\beta) = 0$, then $\langle \beta, \alpha_{l-1} \rangle = \frac{k}{2}$;
- $n_{l-2}(\beta) = 2$ and $n_l(\beta) = 0$, then $\langle \beta, \alpha_{l-1} \rangle = 0$;
- $n_{l-2}(\beta) = 1$ and $n_l(\beta) = 1$, then $\langle \beta, \alpha_{l-1} \rangle = -\frac{k}{2}$.

Therefore, in this case, if we have a positive root $\beta = \cdots + \alpha_{l-1} + \cdots$, such that $\langle \beta, \alpha_{l-1} \rangle = k$, then necessarily $\beta = \alpha_{l-1}$. $\square$

Proposition 6.6. *If $(M = G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an irreducible 3-symmetric space Type $A_3 III$, then (M, g, J) is homogeneous NK Type IV.*

Proof. Let α_i be the simple positive root which defines the inner automorphism σ . We consider an $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant subspace $\mathcal{H}_1$ of $\mathcal{H}$. For $\beta \in \Delta_1^+$ and $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle = k$, by Lemma 6.5 we have: $\beta = \alpha_i$ or $\langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle = 0$, or $\langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle = \frac{k}{2}$ or $\langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle = -\frac{k}{2}$.

If $X \in \mathcal{H}_1$, then $JX \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and X is given by

$$X = \sum_{a=0,1} \left(x_{a;\alpha_i} U_{\alpha_i}^a + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{1(0)}^+ \cup \Delta_{1(\frac{k}{2})}^+ \cup \Delta_{1(-\frac{k}{2})}^+} x_{a;\beta} U_{\beta}^a \right),$$

where $\Delta_{1(c)}^+ = \{\beta \in \Delta_1^+ \mid \langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle = c\}$. Now, taking Lemma 5.1 into account, it is obtained

$$\frac{2^2}{k^2} \text{ad}(\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_i})^2(X) = \sum_{a=0,1} \left(-2^2 x_{a;\alpha_i} U_{\alpha_i}^a - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{1(\frac{k}{2})}^+} x_{a;\beta} U_{\beta}^a + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{1(-\frac{k}{2})}^+} x_{a;\beta} U_{\beta}^a \right),$$

$$\frac{2^4}{k^4} \text{ad}(\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_i})^4(X) = \sum_{a=0,1} \left(2^4 x_{a;\alpha_i} U_{\alpha_i}^a + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{1(\frac{k}{2})}^+} x_{a;\beta} U_{\beta}^a - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{1(-\frac{k}{2})}^+} x_{a;\beta} U_{\beta}^a \right).$$

This implies that $Z = x_{0\alpha_i} U_{\alpha_i}^0 + x_{1\alpha_i} U_{\alpha_i}^1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $JZ \in \mathcal{H}_1$.

If $Z \neq 0$, we also obtain $U_{\alpha_i}^0, U_{\alpha_i}^1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$. This implies $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}$ because $\mathcal{H}_1$ is $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant.

If $Z = 0$, then $U_{\alpha_i}^0, U_{\alpha_i}^1 \in \mathcal{H}_2$, where $\mathcal{H}_2$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{H}_1$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{H}_2$ is also $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant, then $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{H}$ is complex $\text{Ad}(K)$ -irreducible. Therefore, using Proposition 3.14 (ii), $\mathcal{H}$ is real $\text{Hol}(\nabla^{U(n)})$ -irreducible and the nearly Kähler manifold M is homogeneous NK Type IV. $\square$

Now Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence from Theorem 5.3 and Propositions 6.1, 6.2 and 6.6.

7. HOMOGENEOUS NEARLY KÄHLER MANIFOLDS WITH SPECIAL ALGEBRAIC TORSION

In this section we obtain the eigenvalues (l, k, m) of r and the dimensions of the corresponding eigenbundles for each irreducible homogeneous nearly Kähler manifold with special algebraic torsion. From Theorem 1.1, using [16, Theorem 4.1], we have

Corollary 7.1. *A strict homogeneous nearly Kähler manifold has special algebraic torsion if and only if it is a Riemannian product of compact irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3II and Type A_3III .*

We start studying irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3II . First, we shall show that each $\mathcal{V}_k$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, defined in (6.20) is an eigenspace of r in $\mathfrak{m}$. Given a subset $\mathcal{S}$ of Δ^+ and $\alpha \in \Delta$, we denote by $n_\alpha(\mathcal{S})$ to the number of elements in $\{\beta \in \mathcal{S} \mid \beta + \alpha \in \Delta \text{ and } \beta + 2\alpha \notin \Delta\}$.

If the inner automorphism σ is of Type A_3II , the complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ is $\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}$ ($n \geq 3$), $\mathfrak{d}_n$ ($n \geq 4$) or $\mathfrak{e}_6$. Here, $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ is constant, for all $\alpha \in \Delta$, which we shall denote by κ .

Lemma 7.2. *On irreducible compact 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3II we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} r(U_\alpha^a) &= 2\kappa n_{-\alpha}(\Delta_{1,0}^+) U_\alpha^a = 2\kappa n_{-\alpha}(\Delta_{0,1}^+) U_\alpha^a, \\ r(U_\beta^a) &= 2\kappa n_{-\beta}(\Delta_{1,1}^+) U_\beta^a = 2\kappa n_\beta(\Delta_{0,1}^+) U_\beta^a, \\ r(U_\gamma^a) &= 2\kappa n_{-\gamma}(\Delta_{1,1}^+) U_\gamma^a = 2\kappa n_\gamma(\Delta_{1,0}^+) U_\gamma^a, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \in \Delta_{1,1}^+$, $\beta \in \Delta_{1,0}^+$ and $\gamma \in \Delta_{0,1}^+$, and $a = 0, 1$. In particular, note that $n_{-\alpha}(\Delta_{1,0}^+) = n_{-\alpha}(\Delta_{0,1}^+) = c_1$, $n_{-\beta}(\Delta_{1,1}^+) = n_\beta(\Delta_{0,1}^+) = c_2$ and $n_{-\gamma}(\Delta_{1,1}^+) = n_\gamma(\Delta_{1,0}^+) = c_3$, where c_1 , c_2 and c_3 are integral numbers independent of α , β and γ , respectively.

Proof. Because, one gets:

- $\alpha + \beta \notin \Delta$ and if $\alpha - \beta \in \Delta$ then $\alpha - \beta \in \Delta_{0,1}$,
- $\alpha + \gamma \notin \Delta$ and if $\alpha - \gamma \in \Delta$ then $\alpha - \gamma \in \Delta_{1,0}$,
- $\beta - \gamma \notin \Delta$ and if $\beta + \gamma \in \Delta$ then $\beta + \gamma \in \Delta_{1,1}$,

(4.14) and Lemma 5.1 implies

$$\xi_{U_\alpha^0} U_\beta^0 = -\frac{N_{-\beta,\alpha}}{2} U_{\alpha-\beta}^0, \quad \xi_{U_\alpha^0} U_\gamma^0 = -\frac{N_{-\gamma,\alpha}}{2} U_{\alpha-\gamma}^0, \quad \xi_{U_\beta^0} U_\gamma^0 = -\frac{N_{\beta,\gamma}}{2} U_{\beta+\gamma}^0,$$

being zero for the rest. Hence U_α^a , U_β^a and U_γ^a are eigenvectors for r . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{U_\alpha^0}^2 U_\beta^0 &= -\frac{N_{-\beta,\alpha}^2}{4} U_\beta^0, & \xi_{U_\beta^0}^2 U_\alpha^0 &= -\frac{N_{-\beta,\alpha}^2}{4} U_\alpha^0, \\ \xi_{U_\alpha^0}^2 U_\gamma^0 &= -\frac{N_{-\gamma,\alpha}^2}{4} U_\gamma^0, & \xi_{U_\gamma^0}^2 U_\alpha^0 &= -\frac{N_{-\gamma,\alpha}^2}{4} U_\alpha^0, \\ \xi_{U_\beta^0}^2 U_\gamma^0 &= -\frac{N_{\beta,\gamma}^2}{4} U_\gamma^0, & \xi_{U_\gamma^0}^2 U_\beta^0 &= -\frac{N_{\beta,\gamma}^2}{4} U_\beta^0 \end{aligned}$$

and the others being zero. Hence, using Lemma 3.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r U_\alpha^0, U_\alpha^0 \rangle &= 4 \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{1,0}^+} N_{-\beta,\alpha}^2 = 4 \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_{0,1}^+} N_{-\gamma,\alpha}^2, \\ \langle r U_\beta^0, U_\beta^0 \rangle &= 4 \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1,1}^+} N_{-\beta,\alpha}^2 = 4 \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_{0,1}^+} N_{\beta,\gamma}^2, \\ \langle r U_\gamma^0, U_\gamma^0 \rangle &= 4 \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1,1}^+} N_{-\gamma,\alpha}^2 = 4 \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{1,0}^+} N_{\beta,\gamma}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now Lemma follows taking into account that

$$N_{-\beta, \alpha}^2 = \frac{\kappa}{2} \text{ if } \alpha - \beta \in \Delta, \quad N_{-\gamma, \alpha}^2 = \frac{\kappa}{2} \text{ if } \alpha - \gamma \in \Delta, \quad N_{\beta, \gamma}^2 = \frac{\kappa}{2} \text{ if } \beta + \gamma \in \Delta,$$

for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{1,1}^+$, $\beta \in \Delta_{1,0}^+$ and $\gamma \in \Delta_{0,1}^+$, and being zero in other cases. $\square$

Proposition 7.3. *On a compact irreducible 3-symmetric of Type A_3II , the eigenvalues (l, k, m) of r and the dimensions corresponding to the eigenbundles $\mathcal{V}_1$, $\mathcal{V}_2$ and $\mathcal{V}_3$, respectively, are given in Table 5.*

Proof. On $\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}$ a set Δ^+ of the positive roots is given by

$$\Delta^+ = \{\alpha_{p,q} = \alpha_p + \alpha_{p+1} + \cdots + \alpha_q \mid 1 \leq p \leq q \leq n-1\}.$$

Then, for $\sigma = \text{Ad}_{\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}H}$, with $H = \frac{1}{3}(H_i + H_j)$, $1 < i < j < n-1$, we obtain $\Delta^+(H) = \Delta_1^+(H) \cup \Delta_2^+(H) \cup \Delta_3^+(H)$ where $\Delta_1^+(H) = \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid 1 \leq p \leq q < i\}$, $\Delta_2^+(H) = \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid i < p \leq q < j\}$ and $\Delta_3^+(H) = \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid j < p \leq q \leq n-1\}$. Then $\mathfrak{k}$ is of type $\mathfrak{a}_{i-1} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{j-i-1} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{n-1-j} \oplus \mathfrak{T}^2$. Hence M is the quotient manifold $SU(n)/S(U(i) \times U(j-i) \times U(n-j))$.

On $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{R}\{U_\alpha^a \mid \alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+(H)\}$ we consider the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2 \oplus \mathcal{V}_3$, given in (6.20), where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{1,1}^+ &= \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid p \leq i < j \leq q\}, \\ \Delta_{1,0}^+ &= \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid 1 \leq p \leq i \leq q < j\}, \\ \Delta_{0,1}^+ &= \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid i < p \leq j \leq q \leq n-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Fixed $\alpha = \alpha_{p,q} \in \Delta_{1,1}^+$, a root $\beta = \alpha_{p',q'}$ in $\Delta_{1,0}^+$ satisfies $\alpha - \beta \in \Delta$ if and only if $p' = p$. This implies that $n_{-\alpha}(\Delta_{1,0}^+) = j - i$. In a similar way we get

$$n_{-\alpha}(\Delta_{1,0}^+) = n_{-\alpha}(\Delta_{0,1}^+) = j - i, \quad n_{-\beta}(\Delta_{1,1}^+) = n_{\beta}(\Delta_{0,1}^+) = n - j, \quad n_{-\gamma}(\Delta_{1,1}^+) = n_{\gamma}(\Delta_{1,0}^+) = i,$$

for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{1,1}^+$, $\beta \in \Delta_{1,0}^+$ and $\gamma \in \Delta_{0,1}^+$. Therefore, it follows from Lemma 7.2 that $l = 2(j-i)\kappa$, $k = 2(n-j)\kappa$ and $m = 2i\kappa$.

On $\mathfrak{d}_n$ ($n \geq 4$),

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & \overset{1}{\circ} \alpha_n \\ & & & & & & \nearrow \\ \overset{1}{\circ} \alpha_1 & \text{---} & \overset{2}{\circ} \alpha_2 & \text{---} & \cdots & \text{---} & \overset{2}{\circ} \alpha_{n-2} \\ & & & & & & \searrow \\ & & & & & & \overset{1}{\circ} \alpha_{n-1} \end{array},$$

the automorphism σ of Type A_3II is determined by $H = \frac{1}{3}(H_{n-1} + H_n)$. The other possibilities for H , i.e. $H = \frac{1}{3}(H_n + H_{n-1})$ or $H = \frac{1}{3}(H_1 + H_n)$, give automorphisms which are conjugated with the first one. A set Δ^+ of the positive roots is given by

$$\Delta^+ = \{\alpha_p \mid (1 \leq p \leq n), \alpha_{p,q} \mid (1 \leq p < q < n), \tilde{\alpha}_{p,q} = \alpha_{p,n-2} + \alpha_{q,n} \mid (1 \leq p < q \leq n, p \leq n-2)\}.$$

Then

$$\Delta^+(H) = \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid (1 \leq p \leq q \leq n-2)\}$$

and $\mathfrak{k}$ is of type $\mathfrak{a}_{n-2} \oplus \mathfrak{T}^2$. Hence M is the quotient manifold $SO(2n)/(U(n-1) \times SO(2))$. Next, on $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{R}\{U_\alpha^a \mid \alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+(H)\}$, we consider the corresponding orthogonal decomposition

$\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2 \oplus \mathcal{V}_3$ into the vertical distributions $\mathcal{V}_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where the corresponding subset of positive roots are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{1,1}^+ &= \{\tilde{\alpha}_{p,q} \mid 1 \leq p < q \leq n-1\}, \\ \Delta_{1,0}^+ &= \{\alpha_{p,n-1} \mid 1 \leq p \leq n-1\}, \\ \Delta_{0,1}^+ &= \{\alpha_n, \tilde{\alpha}_{p,n} \mid 1 \leq p \leq n-2\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}n_{-\alpha}(\Delta_{1,0}^+) &= n_{-\alpha}(\Delta_{0,1}^+) = 2, \\ n_{-\beta}(\Delta_{1,1}^+) &= n_{\beta}(\Delta_{0,1}^+) = n_{-\gamma}(\Delta_{1,1}^+) = n_{\gamma}(\Delta_{1,0}^+) = n-2,\end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{1,1}^+$, $\beta \in \Delta_{1,0}^+$ and $\gamma \in \Delta_{0,1}^+$. Using above lemma, $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2 \oplus \mathcal{V}_3$ are eigenspaces of r with eigenvalues $l = 4\kappa$ and $k = m = 2(n-2)\kappa$, respectively.

Finally, a system of positive roots Δ^+ on $\mathfrak{e}_6$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 2 & & \\ & & & & \circ & & \\ & & & & \alpha_2 & & \\ & & & & | & & \\ 1 & & 2 & & 3 & & 2 & & 1 \\ \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ \\ \alpha_6 & & \alpha_5 & & \alpha_4 & & \alpha_3 & & \alpha_1 \end{array}$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^+ &= \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \alpha_{p,q} \ (3 \leq p \leq q \leq 6); \alpha_{1,p}, \alpha_{2,p} \ (4 \leq p \leq 6); \alpha_1 + \alpha_{3,p} \ (3 \leq p \leq 6); \\ &\quad \alpha_2 + \alpha_{4,p} \ (4 \leq p \leq 6); \alpha_4 + \alpha_{p,q} \ (p = 1, 2; q = 5, 6); \alpha_{1,6} + \alpha_{p,q} \ (3 \leq p < q \leq 5); \\ &\quad \alpha_{2,6} + \alpha_{4,5}, \alpha_{1,5} + \alpha_{3,4}, \alpha_{1,6} + \alpha_{3,5} + \alpha_4, \mu = \alpha_{1,6} + \alpha_{2,5} + \alpha_4\}\end{aligned}$$

and the automorphism σ of Type A_3II is determined by $H = \frac{1}{3}(H_1 + H_6)$. Hence we get

$$\Delta^+(H) = \{\alpha_2, \alpha_{p,q} \ (3 \leq p \leq q \leq 5), \alpha_{2,4}, \alpha_{2,5}, \alpha_2 + \alpha_4, \alpha_2 + \alpha_{4,5}, \alpha_4 + \alpha_{2,5}\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{1,1}^+ &= \{\alpha_{1,6}, \alpha_1 + \alpha_{3,6}, \alpha_4 + \alpha_{1,6}, \alpha_{1,6} + \alpha_{p,q} \ (3 \leq p < q \leq 5), \alpha_{1,6} + \alpha_{3,5} + \alpha_4, \mu\}, \\ \Delta_{1,0}^+ &= \{\alpha_1, \alpha_{1,p} \ (p = 4, 5), \alpha_1 + \alpha_{3,p} \ (3 \leq p \leq 5), \alpha_4 + \alpha_{1,5}, \alpha_{1,5} + \alpha_{3,4}\}, \\ \Delta_{0,1}^+ &= \{\alpha_{p,6} \ (3 \leq p \leq 6), \alpha_{2,6}, \alpha_2 + \alpha_{4,6}, \alpha_4 + \alpha_{2,6}, \alpha_{4,5} + \alpha_{2,6}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$n_{-\alpha}(\Delta_{1,0}^+) = n_{-\alpha}(\Delta_{0,1}^+) = n_{-\beta}(\Delta_{1,1}^+) = n_{\beta}(\Delta_{0,1}^+) = n_{-\gamma}(\Delta_{1,1}^+) = n_{\gamma}(\Delta_{1,0}^+) = 4.$$

Hence it follows from above lemma that r has exactly one eigenvalue $l = k = m = 8\kappa$. Since $\dim \mathcal{V}_1 = 2 \text{ card}(\Delta_{1,1}^+)$, $\dim \mathcal{V}_2 = 2 \text{ card}(\Delta_{1,0}^+)$, and $\dim \mathcal{V}_3 = 2 \text{ card}(\Delta_{0,1}^+)$, the dimensions of $\mathcal{V}_1$, $\mathcal{V}_2$ and $\mathcal{V}_3$ given in Table 5 follow. $\square$

Corollary 7.4. *On irreducible compact 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3II , Equation 3.9 is satisfied. Therefore, an irreducible compact 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3II is Einstein if and only if $\dim \mathcal{V}_1 = \dim \mathcal{V}_2 = \dim \mathcal{V}_3$. Thus, $SU(3a)/S(U(a) \times U(a) \times U(a))$ ($a \geq 1$), $E_6/(SO(8) \times SO(2) \times SO(2))$ and $SO(8)/(U(3) \times SO(2))$ are the irreducible compact 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3II which are Einstein.*

G	K	(l, k, m)	$\dim \mathcal{V}_1$	$\dim \mathcal{V}_2$	$\dim \mathcal{V}_3$
$SU(n)/\mathbb{Z}_n$ $n = r_1 + r_2 + r_3$	$S(U(r_1) \times U(r_2) \times U(r_3))$ $1 \leq r_i$	$(2r_2\kappa, 2r_3\kappa, 2r_1\kappa)$	$2r_1r_3$	$2r_1r_2$	$2r_2r_3$
$SO(2n)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ $n \geq 4$	$U(n-1) \times SO(2)$	$(4\kappa, 2(n-2)\kappa, 2(n-2)\kappa)$	$(n-1)(n-2)$	$2(n-1)$	$2(n-1)$
$E_6/\mathbb{Z}_3$	$SO(8) \times SO(2) \times SO(2)$	$(8\kappa, 8\kappa, 8\kappa)$	16	16	16

TABLE 5. Type A_3II . $\kappa = \langle \mu, \mu \rangle$, μ is the highest positive root

Next, we consider compact irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3III .

Lemma 7.5. *On a compact irreducible 3-symmetric space of Type A_3III such that $\text{TM} = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, if $\beta \in \Delta_2^+$ and $\alpha \in \Delta_1^+$, then we have that*

$$l = n_{-\beta}(\Delta_1^+) \langle \beta, \beta \rangle, \quad k = 2 \sum_{\{\gamma \in \Delta_2^+ \mid \gamma - \alpha \in \Delta\}} \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle$$

are the eigenvalues of r corresponding to the eigenbundles $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, respectively.

Remark 7.6. In particular, if μ is the highest positive root, we have $l = n_{-\mu}(\Delta_1^+) \langle \mu, \mu \rangle$. Note that $\langle \mu, \mu \rangle = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$, where α is any simple root of maximal length.

Proof. For $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha} \in \Delta_1^+$ and $\beta \in \Delta_2^+$ one gets:

- If $\alpha + \tilde{\alpha} \in \Delta$ then $\alpha + \tilde{\alpha} \in \Delta_2^+$. If $\alpha - \tilde{\alpha} \in \Delta$ then $\alpha - \tilde{\alpha} \in \Delta(H)$.
- $\alpha + \beta \notin \Delta$ and if $\alpha - \beta \in \Delta$ then $\beta - \alpha \in \Delta_1^+$.

From here, using (4.14) and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\xi_{U_\alpha^0} U_{\tilde{\alpha}}^0 = -\frac{N_{\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}}}{2} U_{\alpha+\tilde{\alpha}}^0, \quad \xi_{U_\alpha^0} U_\beta^0 = -\frac{N_{-\alpha, \beta}}{2} U_{\alpha-\beta}^0, \quad (7.23)$$

being zero for the rest. Hence U_α^a , and U_β^a are eigenvectors for r . Moreover, we obtain

$$\xi_{U_\alpha^0}^2 U_{\tilde{\alpha}}^0 = -\frac{N_{\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}}^2}{4} U_{\tilde{\alpha}}^0, \quad \xi_{U_\alpha^0}^2 U_\beta^0 = -\frac{N_{-\alpha, \beta}^2}{4} U_\beta^0, \quad \xi_{U_\beta^0}^2 U_\alpha^0 = -\frac{N_{-\beta, \alpha}^2}{4} U_\alpha^0$$

and the others being zero. Finally, using Lemma 3.12, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \langle rU_\alpha^0, U_\alpha^0 \rangle &= 4 \sum_{\tilde{\alpha} \in \Delta_1^+} N_{\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}}^2 = 4 \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_2^+} N_{-\alpha, \beta}^2, \\ \langle rU_\beta^0, U_\beta^0 \rangle &= 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_1^+} N_{-\beta, \alpha}^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this and (5.17), taking into account that the $-\gamma$ -serie, $\gamma \in \Delta_2^+$, containing $\alpha \in \Delta_1^+$ is given by $\{\alpha, \alpha - \gamma\}$, we get

$$k = \langle rU_\alpha^0, U_\alpha^0 \rangle = 2 \sum_{\{\gamma \in \Delta_2^+ \mid \gamma - \alpha \in \Delta\}} \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle$$

Also we obtain

$$l = \langle rU_\beta^0, U_\beta^0 \rangle = n_{-\beta}(\Delta_1^+) \langle \beta, \beta \rangle.$$

□

G	K	(l, k)	$\dim \mathcal{V}$	$\dim \mathcal{H}$
$SO(2n+1),$ $n > 2$	$U(i) \times SO(2(n-i)+1),$ $i > 1$	$((2(n-i)+1)\kappa, (i-1)\kappa)$	$i(i-1)$	$2i(2(n-i)+1)$
$Sp(n)/\mathbb{Z}_2,$ $n \geq 2$	$\{(U(i) \times Sp(n-i))/\mathbb{Z}_2,$ $1 \leq i < n$	$(2(n-i)\kappa, (i+1)\kappa)$	$i(i+1)$	$4i(n-i)$
$SO(2n)/\mathbb{Z}_2,$ $n \geq 4$	$\{(U(i) \times SO(2(n-i)))/\mathbb{Z}_2,$ $2 \leq i \leq n-2$	$(2(n-i)\kappa, (i-1)\kappa)$	$i(i-1)$	$4i(n-i)$
G_2	$U(2)$	$(4\kappa, 2\kappa)$	2	8
F_4	$\{Spin(7) \times T^1\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$	$(4\kappa, 7\kappa)$	14	16
F_4	$\{Sp(3) \times T^1\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$	$(14\kappa, 2\kappa)$	2	28
$E_6/\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\{S(U(5) \times U(1)) \times SU(2)\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$	$(12\kappa, 6\kappa)$	10	40
$E_6/\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\{[SU(6)/\mathbb{Z}_3] \times T^1\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$	$(20\kappa, 2\kappa)$	2	40
$E_7/\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\{SU(2) \times [SO(10) \times SO(2)]\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$	$(16\kappa, 10\kappa)$	20	64
$E_7/\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\{SO(12) \times SO(2)\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$	$(32\kappa, 2\kappa)$	2	64
$E_7/\mathbb{Z}_2$	$S(U(7) \times U(1))/\mathbb{Z}_4$	$(20\kappa, 8\kappa)$	14	70
E_8	$SO(14) \times SO(2)$	$(32\kappa, 14\kappa)$	28	128
E_8	$\{E_7 \times T^1\}/\mathbb{Z}_2$	$(56\kappa, 2\kappa)$	2	112

TABLE 6. Type A_3III . $\kappa = \langle \mu, \mu \rangle$, μ is the highest positive root

Proposition 7.7. *On a compact irreducible 3-symmetric of Type A_3III , the eigenvalues (l, k) of r and the dimensions corresponding to the eigenbundles $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, respectively, are given in Table 6.*

Proof. In next Section the corresponding Dynkin diagrams are displayed. Moreover, the sets Δ^+ , Δ_2^+ and Δ_1^+ are given. Likewise, on each case, it is fixed the element H which define the inner automorphism σ . From that information and using Lemma 7.5, Table 6 can be completed (we recommend the use of some adequate software for further checking, see LIE in <http://www-math.univ-poitiers.fr>) $\square$

Corollary 7.8. *On irreducible compact 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3III , Equation 3.8 is satisfied. Therefore, a compact irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3III is Einstein if and only if $2 \dim \mathcal{V} = \dim \mathcal{H}$. Thus, $SO(6a-1)/(U(2a) \times SO(2a-1))$, $a \geq 2$, $Sp(3a-1)/(U(2a-1) \times Sp(a))$, $a \geq 1$, and $SO(6a+2)/(U(2a+1) \times SO(a))$, $a \geq 2$, are the irreducible compact 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3III which are Einstein.*

The quotient $\frac{l}{k}$ measures how much the nearly Kähler metric of a compact irreducible 3-symmetric space of Type A_3III deviates to be an Einstein metric. When the fibers of the

canonical fibration is two-dimensional, it is known that the base manifold is quaternionic Kähler [16] of dimension $4a$, $a \geq 1$.

Lemma 7.9. *If $\dim \mathcal{V} = 2$, $\dim M = 2n$ and $n = 2a + 1$, then*

$$l = (n - 1)\langle \mu, \mu \rangle, \quad k = 2\langle \mu, \mu \rangle. \quad (7.24)$$

Therefore, $\frac{l}{k} = \frac{n-1}{2} = a$.

Proof. Our hypothesis is equivalent to $\Delta_2^+ = \{\mu\}$. In this case, since $1 \leq n_{-\alpha}(\Delta_2^+) \leq \frac{\dim \mathcal{V}}{2} = 1$, $\mu - \alpha \in \Delta_1^+$, for all $\alpha \in \Delta_1^+$. Hence

$$n_{-\mu}(\Delta_1^+) = \text{card}(\Delta_1^+) = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{H} = n - 1.$$

□

Then these twistor spaces are classified on terms of the quotient $\frac{l}{k}$ as follows:

Proposition 7.10. *Let $(M = G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a compact irreducible 3-symmetric space of Type $A_3 III$. We have:*

- (i) $\frac{l}{k} = 1$, i.e. the metric is Einstein, if and only if M is the six-dimensional 3-symmetric space $\mathbb{C}P^3$.
- (ii) $\frac{l}{k} = 2$ if and only if M is one of the 10-dimensional 3-symmetric spaces: $\mathbb{C}P^5$, $SO(6)/(U(2) \times SO(2))$, $G_2/U(2)$.
- (iii) $\frac{l}{k} = m$, for $m \geq 3$, if and only if M is $\mathbb{C}P^{2m+1}$ or $SO(m+4)/(U(2) \times SO(m))$, with the following four exceptions: $\frac{l}{k}$ is 7 on $F_4/(Sp(3) \times SO(2))$; 10 on $E_6/(SU(6) \times T^1)$; 16 on $E_7/(SO(12) \times SO(2))$ and 28 on $E_8/(E_7 \times SO(2))$.

Remark 7.11. Here the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^{2m+1}$ is consider as the 3-symmetric space $Sp(m+1)/(U(1) \times Sp(m))$ equipped with the standard $Sp(m+1)$ -metric and not with the symmetric Fubini-Study metric.

Remark 7.12. If an irreducible nearly Kähler manifold with special algebraic torsion is Einstein and non-homogeneous, then it must be a twistor space over a positive quaternionic Kähler manifold [16]. In such a case, by results due to Alexandrov et al. [1], the manifold has to be six-dimensional. On the other hand, we have already mentioned in Section 1 the Hitchin's result claiming that any positive quaternionic Kähler manifold of dimension four is symmetric [12]. This implies that the corresponding six-dimensional twistor space is homogeneous. Therefore, Corollaries 7.4 and 7.8 contain the *complete list* of irreducible nearly Kähler manifolds with special algebraic torsion which are Einstein. The remaining irreducible simply connected homogeneous strict nearly Kähler Einstein manifolds are the compact irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Types $A_3 IV$, B_3 and C_3 (Tables 3 and 4). The only possibility for non-homogeneous irreducible strict nearly Kähler Einstein manifolds is to be six-dimensional and $\nabla^{U(n)}$ -holonomy real irreducible.

8. CANONICAL FIBRATIONS

The notion of Lie triple system given in the theory of symmetric spaces to construct totally geodesic submanifolds can be extended to naturally reductive spaces in the following way [19].

Definition 8.1. Let $(M = G/K, g)$ be a naturally reductive homogeneous manifold with adapted reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$. A subspace ν of $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $[\nu, \nu]_{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \nu$ and $[[\nu, \nu]_{\mathfrak{k}}, \nu] \subset \nu$ is said to be a *Lie triple system* (L.t.s) of $\mathfrak{m}$.

Since $\mathfrak{m}$ is $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant and using the Jacobi identity, it follows that $\mathfrak{h} = \nu \oplus [\nu, \nu]_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Denote by H the connected Lie subgroup of G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. Then, by a similar way than for symmetric spaces (see [11, Theorem 7.2, Ch. IV]), one obtains the following result, based on the fact of that geodesics of M through the origin o of G/K are of type $(\exp tv)o$, $v \in \mathfrak{m}$. Note that the manifold M' , there mentioned, can be expressed as the orbit $H \cdot o$ (see also [19]).

Theorem 8.2. *Let $(M = G/K, g)$ be a naturally reductive homogeneous manifold with adapted reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ and $\nu \subset \mathfrak{m}$ a L.t.s. Then there exists a (unique) complete and connected totally geodesic submanifold M' through o of M , such that $T_o M' = \nu$. Moreover, M' is the naturally reductive homogeneous manifold $(M' = H/(H \cap K), \iota^* g)$, where H is the connected Lie subgroup of G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} = \nu \oplus [\nu, \nu]_{\mathfrak{k}}$ and ι is the inclusion map.*

Now, let $(M = G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a compact irreducible 3-symmetric space of Type A_3II or Type A_3III and let $\mathcal{V}$ be any of the subspaces $\mathcal{V}_k$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, of $\mathfrak{m}$ for Type A_3II or $\mathcal{V}$ for Type A_3III , defined in (6.20) and (6.22), respectively. In what follows, we refer to $\mathcal{V}$ as a *vertical distribution* of M .

Because $\mathcal{V}$ is a Lie triple system, each vertical distribution $\mathcal{V}$ determines a totally geodesic submanifold $F_{\mathcal{V}}$ as in Theorem 8.2. Consider the Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}} = \mathcal{V} + [\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}], \quad \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}} = \mathcal{V} + \mathfrak{k}$$

and their associated connected Lie subgroups $G_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}}$ of G . Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is an ideal of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Theorem 8.3. *Let $(M = G/K, \sigma, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a compact irreducible 3-symmetric space of Type A_3II or Type A_3III and let $\mathcal{V}$ be a vertical distribution. Then we have:*

- (i) *The quotient manifold $F_{\mathcal{V}} = G_{\mathcal{V}}/(G_{\mathcal{V}} \cap K)$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of M through the origin tangent to $\mathcal{V}$ which is also an irreducible compact Hermitian symmetric space.*
- (ii) *$\bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a closed subgroup of G and the projection $\pi : M = G/K \rightarrow N_{\mathcal{V}} = G/\bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}}$, $aK \mapsto a\bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}}$, is the canonical fibration of M with generic fiber $F_{\mathcal{V}}$.*
- (iii) *The base space $N_{\mathcal{V}} = G/\bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is an irreducible compact symmetric space with inner associated automorphism of order two on $\mathfrak{g}$.*
- (iv) *σ is of Type A_3II if and only if $N_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a Hermitian symmetric space.*

Proof. (i) It follows from Theorem 8.2 and [16, Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 4.1].

Next, for each vertical distribution $\mathcal{V}$ of M , we shall look for an inner involutive automorphism $\tau_{\mathcal{V}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau_{\mathcal{V}})$ is an irreducible orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra and the set of fixed points $\mathfrak{g}^{\tau_{\mathcal{V}}}$ is $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}}$. Then $(G, \bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}})$ becomes into an associated pair of $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau_{\mathcal{V}})$. Since the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\{0\}$, it follows that $\bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is closed ([11, Proposition 3.6, Ch. IV]). From here, $\bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}}/K$ is a regular submanifold of G/K (see [11, Proposition 4.4, Ch. II]). Moreover, the totally geodesic submanifold $F_{\mathcal{V}} = G_{\mathcal{V}}/(G_{\mathcal{V}} \cap K)$ is a submanifold of $\bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}}/K$, via the immersion $g(G_{\mathcal{V}} \cap K) \mapsto \iota(g)K$, for $g \in G_{\mathcal{V}}$, where $\iota : G_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is the inclusion map. Because $T_o F_{\mathcal{V}} = \mathcal{V} = T_o(\bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}}/K)$, $F_{\mathcal{V}}$ is an open submanifold of $\bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}}/K$. Since it is also closed in $\bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}}/K$, one gets that $F_{\mathcal{V}} = G_{\mathcal{V}}/(G_{\mathcal{V}} \cap K) = \bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}}/K$. It proves (ii).

If σ is of Type A_3II , then $m_i = m_j = 1$ for some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, $i < j$ and $\sigma = \text{Ad}_{\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}H}$, where $H = \frac{1}{3}(H_i + H_j)$. Let $\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2 \oplus \mathcal{V}_3$ be the orthogonal decomposition of $\mathfrak{m}$ into its vertical subspaces $\mathcal{V}_k$, $k = 1, 2, 3$. We consider the inner automorphisms of order two of $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\tau_{\mathcal{V}_1} = \text{Ad}_{\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}(H_i + H_j)}, \quad \tau_{\mathcal{V}_2} = \text{Ad}_{\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}H_j}, \quad \tau_{\mathcal{V}_3} = \text{Ad}_{\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}H_i}.$$

Using (5.18), one gets $\mathfrak{g}^{\tau_{\mathcal{V}_k}} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}_k}$ and, because $\mathfrak{g}$ is a compact semisimple (in fact, simple) Lie algebra the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau_{\mathcal{V}_k})$ is an effective orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra.

The base spaces $N_{\mathcal{V}_k} = G/\bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}_k}$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, of the canonical fibration are irreducible compact symmetric spaces associated with the orthogonal symmetric Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau_{\mathcal{V}_k})$. Moreover, they are Hermitian symmetric spaces and the complex structure $J^{N_{\mathcal{V}_k}}$ on $N_{\mathcal{V}_k}$ is determined, by using (5.19), by the $\text{Ad}(\bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}_k})$ -invariant tensor field $J^{N_{\mathcal{V}_k}}$ on the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}_k = \mathcal{V}_{k_1} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{k_2}$, where (k, k_1, k_2) is a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} J^{N_{\mathcal{V}_1}} &= \text{ad}_{\sqrt{-1}(H_i - H_j)|_{\mathcal{H}_1}} (J_{|\mathcal{V}_2}^{N_{\mathcal{V}_1}} = J_{|\mathcal{V}_2}, \quad J_{|\mathcal{V}_3}^{N_{\mathcal{V}_1}} = -J_{|\mathcal{V}_3}), \\ J^{N_{\mathcal{V}_2}} &= \text{ad}_{\sqrt{-1}H_j|_{\mathcal{H}_2}} (J_{|\mathcal{V}_3}^{N_{\mathcal{V}_2}} = J_{|\mathcal{V}_3}, \quad J_{|\mathcal{V}_1}^{N_{\mathcal{V}_2}} = -J_{|\mathcal{V}_1}), \\ J^{N_{\mathcal{V}_3}} &= \text{ad}_{\sqrt{-1}H_i|_{\mathcal{H}_3}} (J_{|\mathcal{V}_2}^{N_{\mathcal{V}_3}} = J_{|\mathcal{V}_2}, \quad J_{|\mathcal{V}_1}^{N_{\mathcal{V}_3}} = -J_{|\mathcal{V}_1}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, suppose σ is of Type A_3III , with $\sigma = \text{Ad}_{\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}H}$, where $H = \frac{2}{3}H_i$ being $m_i = 2$ for some $i = 1, \dots, l$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the vertical distribution. Then the base space $N_{\mathcal{V}} = G/\bar{G}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is associated with the irreducible orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}}, \tau_{\mathcal{V}} = \text{Ad}_{\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}H_i})$. Hence $N_{\mathcal{V}}$ is an irreducible compact symmetric space with inner automorphism $\tau_{\mathcal{V}}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ but it is not a Hermitian symmetric space. In fact, the roots which determine the isotropy subgroup are $\{\alpha_j \in \pi \mid j \neq i\} \cup \{-\mu\}$. All of this proves (iii) and (iv). $\square$

Hence we can conclude

Corollary 8.4. *Compact irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3II or Type A_3III are twistor spaces over irreducible compact symmetric spaces, and over Hermitian symmetric spaces for those of Type A_3II .*

Remark 8.5. In Theorems 8.6 and 8.9 we will explicitly determine all pairs $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}}, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}})$ for each vertical distribution $\mathcal{V}$. In particular, we will obtain that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a compact simple Lie algebra. If σ is of Type A_3II , it follows from (5.18) that the pair $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_k}, \delta_k)$, for each $k = 1, 2, 3$, where δ_k is the inner automorphism given by

$$\delta_1 = \text{Ad}_{\exp \frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{2}(H_i + H_j)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_1}}}, \quad \delta_2 = \text{Ad}_{\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}H_i|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_2}}}, \quad \delta_3 = \text{Ad}_{\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}H_j|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_3}}},$$

is an irreducible orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra associated to $F_{\mathcal{V}_k} = G_{\mathcal{V}_k}/(G_{\mathcal{V}_k} \cap K)$. It is a Hermitian symmetric space with almost complex structure $J_{\mathcal{V}_k} = J_{|\mathcal{V}_k}$ and determined by the $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant tensor field on $\mathcal{V}_k$ given by $\text{ad}_{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}(H_i + H_j)|_{\mathcal{V}_1}}$, for $k = 1$, $\text{ad}_{\sqrt{-1}H_i|_{\mathcal{V}_2}}$, for $k = 2$, and $\text{ad}_{\sqrt{-1}H_j|_{\mathcal{V}_3}}$, for $k = 3$. If σ is of Type A_3III , the pair $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}}, \delta = \text{Ad}_{\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}H_i|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}}}})$ is an irreducible orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra associated to $F_{\mathcal{V}} = G_{\mathcal{V}}/(G_{\mathcal{V}} \cap K)$ and with almost complex structure determined by the tensor on $\mathcal{V}$ given by $\text{ad}_{\sqrt{-1}H_i|_{\mathcal{V}}}$.

Theorem 8.6. *The canonical fibrations for compact irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3II are:*

- (i) $\mathbb{C}G_{r_i, r_j} \rightarrow \frac{SU(n)}{S(U(r_1) \times U(r_2) \times U(r_3))} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G_{r_k, n-r_k}$, where $1 \leq r_i$, $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 = n$, and (i, j, k) is a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$.
- (ii) $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \rightarrow \frac{SO(2n)}{U(n-1) \times SO(2)} \rightarrow \frac{SO(2n)}{U(n)}$, $n \geq 4$.
- (iii) $\frac{SO(2(n-1))}{U(n-1)} \rightarrow \frac{SO(2n)}{U(n-1) \times SO(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}G_{2(n-1), 2}$, $n \geq 4$.
- (iv) $\mathbb{R}G_{2, 8} \rightarrow \frac{E_6}{SO(8) \times SO(2) \times SO(2)} \rightarrow \frac{E_6}{SO(10) \times SO(2)}$.

Remark 8.7. Note that on above compact quotient G/K the action of G is almost effective but not necessarily effective.

Proof. We take $\Delta_{1,1}^+$, $\Delta_{1,0}^+$ and $\Delta_{0,1}^+$ as in proof of Proposition 7.3 for the simple complex Lie algebras $\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}$, $\mathfrak{d}_n$ and $\mathfrak{e}_6$. Then on $\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}$ ($n \geq 3$), using Lemma 5.1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{V_1} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_{1,1}^+ \cup \Delta_1^+(H) \cup \Delta_3^+(H) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1,1}^+} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{V_2} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_{1,0}^+ \cup \Delta_1^+(H) \cup \Delta_2^+(H) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1,0}^+} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{V_3} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_{0,1}^+ \cup \Delta_2^+(H) \cup \Delta_3^+(H) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{0,1}^+} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get $\mathfrak{g}_{V_2} \cong \mathfrak{su}(j)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{V_3} \cong \mathfrak{su}(n-i)$. Next we show that $\mathfrak{g}_{V_1} \cong \mathfrak{su}(n+i-j)$. Denote by $\pi_{n-1+i-j}$ a system of simple roots $\pi_{n-1+i-j} = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1+i-j}\}$ of $\mathfrak{a}_{n-1+i-j}$. Let ϕ be the bijection $\phi : \pi_{n-1+i-j} \rightarrow \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i,j}, \alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$, given by

$$\phi(\beta_1) = \alpha_1, \dots, \phi(\beta_{i-1}) = \alpha_{i-1}, \phi(\beta_i) = \alpha_{i,j}, \phi(\beta_{i+1}) = \alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \phi(\beta_{n-1+i-j}) = \alpha_{n-1}.$$

Then ϕ can be extended by linearity to a bijection from $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_{n-1+i-j}}^+$ to $\Delta_{1,1}^+ \cup \Delta_1^+(H) \cup \Delta_3^+(H)$, where $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_{n-1+i-j}}^+$ is the positive root set of $\mathfrak{a}_{n-1+i-j}$ generated by $\pi_{n-1+i-j}$. Also denote by the same letter ϕ the homomorphism from $\mathfrak{su}(n+i-j)$ to $\mathfrak{g}_{V_1}$ defined by $\phi(\sqrt{-1}H_{\beta_s}) = \sqrt{-1}H_{\phi(\beta_s)}$ and $\phi(U_{\beta_s}^a) = U_{\phi(\beta_s)}^a$, $s = 1, \dots, n-1+i-j$. Then

$$\mathfrak{g}_{V_1} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \phi(\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_{n-1+i-j}}^+) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \phi(\pi_{n-1+i-j})} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha = \phi(\mathfrak{su}(n+i-j)).$$

Moreover, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{V_1} &= \mathfrak{g}_{V_1} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_2^+(H) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \{\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}\}} \sqrt{-1}H_\alpha \cong \mathfrak{su}(j-i) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(n+i-j) \oplus \mathfrak{T}^1, \\ \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{V_2} &= \mathfrak{g}_{V_2} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_3^+(H) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \{\alpha_j, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}} \sqrt{-1}H_\alpha \cong \mathfrak{su}(j) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(n-j) \oplus \mathfrak{T}^1, \\ \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{V_3} &= \mathfrak{g}_{V_3} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_1^+(H) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i\}} \sqrt{-1}H_\alpha \cong \mathfrak{su}(n-i) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(i) \oplus \mathfrak{T}^1. \end{aligned}$$

From here and Theorem 8.3, taking $r_1 = i$, $r_2 = j-i$ and $r_3 = n-j$, we have the fibrations given in (i).

On $\mathfrak{d}_n$ ($n \geq 4$), using again Lemma 5.1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_1} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_{1,1}^+ \cup \Delta^+(H) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1,1}^+} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_2} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_{1,0}^+ \cup \Delta^+(H) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \pi \setminus \{\alpha_n\}} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_3} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_{0,1}^+ \cup \Delta^+(H) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \pi \setminus \{\alpha_{n-1}\}} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we directly get $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_2} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_3} \cong \mathfrak{su}(n)$. Let $\Delta_{\mathfrak{d}_{n-1}}^+$ be the positive root set for $\mathfrak{d}_{n-1}$ generated by a system of simple roots $\pi_{n-1} = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}\}$ and consider the bijection $\phi : \pi_{n-1} \rightarrow \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}, \tilde{\alpha}_{n-2, n-1}\}$, given by $\phi(\beta_i) = \alpha_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n-2$, and $\phi(\beta_{n-1}) = \tilde{\alpha}_{n-2, n-1}$. Then ϕ determines a homomorphism from $\mathfrak{so}(2(n-1))$ to the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}_1}$ defined as before. Then

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_1} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \phi(\Delta_{\mathfrak{d}_{n-1}}^+) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \phi(\pi_{n-1})} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha = \phi(\mathfrak{so}(2(n-1))).$$

Moreover, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}_1} &= \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_1} + \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_n} \cong \mathfrak{so}(2(n-1)) \oplus \mathfrak{T}^1, \\ \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}_2} &= \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_2} + \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_l} \cong \mathfrak{u}(n-1), \\ \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}_3} &= \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_3} + \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_{l-1}} \cong \mathfrak{u}(n-1).\end{aligned}$$

From here and Theorem 8.3, we obtain the fibrations (ii) and (iii); (ii) corresponds with the vertical distributions $\mathcal{V}_2$ and $\mathcal{V}_3$ and (iii) with $\mathcal{V}_1$.

Finally, on $\mathfrak{e}_6$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_1} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_{1,1}^+ \cup \Delta^+(H) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1,1}^+} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_2} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_{1,0}^+ \cup \Delta^+(H) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \pi \setminus \{\alpha_6\}} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_3} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_{0,1}^+ \cup \Delta^+(H) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \pi \setminus \{\alpha_1\}} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Using the corresponding Dynkin diagrams we get $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_2} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_3} \cong \mathfrak{so}(10)$. Next we also show that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_1} \cong \mathfrak{so}(10)$. Let $\Delta_{\mathfrak{d}_5}^+$ be the positive root set for $\mathfrak{d}_5$ generated by a system of simple roots $\pi_5 = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_5\}$. Let ϕ be the bijection $\phi : \pi_5 \rightarrow \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_{3,6}, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_5\}$, given by

$$\phi(\beta_1) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_{3,6}, \quad \phi(\beta_2) = \alpha_2, \quad \phi(\beta_3) = \alpha_4, \quad \phi(\beta_4) = \alpha_5, \quad \phi(\beta_5) = \alpha_3.$$

Then ϕ can be extended to $\Delta_{\mathfrak{d}_5} \rightarrow \Delta(H) \cup \Delta_{1,1}$ by linearity and the result follows as in the above cases. Moreover, we get

$$\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}_1} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_1} + \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_6}, \quad \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}_2} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_2} + \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_6}, \quad \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}_3} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}_3} + \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_1}.$$

Hence, $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}_1} \cong \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}_2} \cong \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}_3} \cong \mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus \mathfrak{T}^1$. $\square$

Remark 8.8. The coset spaces $\frac{SU(n)}{S(U(1) \times U(1) \times U(n-2))}$, $n \geq 3$, are the compact irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3II such the the fibers of the canonical fibration are 2-dimensional. Such a fibration is given by

$$S^2 \cong \mathbb{C}P^1 = \frac{SU(2)}{S(U(1) \times U(1))} \rightarrow \frac{SU(n)}{S(U(1) \times U(1) \times U(n-2))} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G_{2, n-2} = \frac{SU(n)}{S(U(2) \times U(n-2))}.$$

Furthermore, for these manifolds $k = m$ and $\frac{l}{k} = n - 2$. Therefore, $\frac{SU(3)}{S(U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1))}$ known as the flag manifold $\mathbb{F}^3$, is the unique compact irreducible 3-symmetric space of Type A_3II with 2-dimensional fibers which is also Einstein.

Theorem 8.9. *The canonical fibrations for compact irreducible 3-symmetric spaces of Type A_3III are:*

- (i) $\frac{SO(2i)}{U(i)} \rightarrow \frac{SO(2n+1)}{U(i) \times SO(2(n-i)+1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}G_{2i,2(n-i)+1}, (n > 2, i > 1).$
- (ii) $\frac{Sp(i)}{U(i)} \rightarrow \frac{Sp(n)}{U(i) \times Sp(n-i)} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}G_{i,n-i}, (n \geq 2, i < n).$
- (iii) $\frac{SO(2i)}{U(i)} \rightarrow \frac{SO(2n)}{U(i) \times SO(2(n-i))} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}G_{2i,2(n-i)}, (n \geq 4, 2 \leq i \leq n-2).$
- (iv) $S^2 \rightarrow \frac{G_2}{U(2)} \rightarrow \frac{G_2}{SU(2) \times SU(2)}.$
- (v) $S^2 \rightarrow \frac{F_4}{Sp(3) \times SO(2)} \rightarrow \frac{F_4}{Sp(3) \times SU(2)}.$
- (vi) $\mathbb{R}G_{2,7} \rightarrow \frac{F_4}{SO(7) \times SO(2)} \rightarrow \frac{F_4}{SO(9)}.$
- (vii) $\mathbb{C}G_{1,5} \rightarrow \frac{E_6}{S(U(5) \times U(1)) \times SU(2)} \rightarrow \frac{E_6}{SU(6) \times SU(2)}.$
- (viii) $S^2 \rightarrow \frac{E_6}{SU(6) \times T^1} \rightarrow \frac{E_6}{SU(6) \times SU(2)}.$
- (ix) $S^2 \rightarrow \frac{E_7}{SO(12) \times SO(2)} \rightarrow \frac{E_7}{SO(12) \times SU(2)}.$
- (x) $\mathbb{C}G_{1,7} \rightarrow \frac{E_7}{S(U(7) \times U(1))} \rightarrow \frac{E_7}{SU(8)}.$
- (xi) $\mathbb{R}G_{2,10} \rightarrow \frac{E_7}{SU(2) \times SO(10) \times SO(2)} \rightarrow \frac{E_7}{SO(12) \times SU(2)}.$
- (xii) $S^2 \rightarrow \frac{E_8}{E_7 \times SO(2)} \rightarrow \frac{E_8}{E_7 \times SU(2)}.$
- (xiii) $\mathbb{R}G_{2,14} \rightarrow \frac{E_8}{SO(14) \times SO(2)} \rightarrow \frac{E_8}{SO(16)}.$

Proof. If σ is of Type A_3III then $m_i = 2$ ($H = \frac{2}{3}H_i$), for some $i = 1, \dots, n$. It implies that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is one of the following: $\mathfrak{b}_n$ ($n \geq 2$), $\mathfrak{c}_n$ ($n \geq 2$), $\mathfrak{d}_n$ ($n \geq 4$), $\mathfrak{g}_2$, $\mathfrak{f}_4$, $\mathfrak{e}_6$, $\mathfrak{e}_7$ and $\mathfrak{e}_8$.

On $\mathfrak{b}_n$ we consider the automorphism σ determined by $H = \frac{2}{3}H_i$, where $2 \leq i \leq n$. A set Δ^+ of the positive roots is given by

$$\Delta^+ = \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid (1 \leq p \leq q \leq n); \tilde{\alpha}_{p,q} = \alpha_p + \dots + \alpha_{q-1} + 2\alpha_q + \dots + 2\alpha_n \mid (1 \leq p < q \leq n)\}.$$

Then,

$$\Delta^+(H) = \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid 1 \leq p \leq q < i\} \cup \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid i < p \leq q \leq n\} \cup \{\tilde{\alpha}_{p,q} \mid i < p < q \leq n\}$$

and $\mathfrak{k}$ is of type $\mathfrak{a}_{i-1} \oplus \mathfrak{b}_{n-i} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^1$. Hence M is the quotient manifold $SO(2n+1)/(U(i) \times SO(2(n-i)+1))$. Moreover, the subspace $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ of the vertical distribution has dimension $i(i-1)$ and it is given by $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}\{U_{\alpha_{p,q}}^a \mid 1 \leq p < q \leq i\}$ and $\Delta_2^+ = \{\tilde{\alpha}_{p,q} \mid 1 \leq p < q \leq i\}$. Then we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_2^+ \cup \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid 1 \leq p \leq q < i\} \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_{\alpha}^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_2^+} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha}.$$

Let $\Delta_{\mathfrak{d}_i}^+$ be the positive root set for $\mathfrak{d}_i$ generated by a system of simple roots $\pi_i = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_i\}$. Let ϕ be the bijection $\phi : \pi_i \rightarrow \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, \tilde{\alpha}_{i-1,i}\}$, given by $\phi(\beta_j) = \alpha_j$, $j = 1, \dots, i-1$, and $\phi(\beta_i) = \tilde{\alpha}_{i-1,i}$. Then ϕ can be extended by linearity to $\Delta_{\mathfrak{d}_i}^+ \rightarrow \Delta_2^+ \cup \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid 1 \leq p \leq q < i\}$. Also denote by the same letter ϕ the extended homomorphism from $\mathfrak{so}(2i)$ to the Lie algebra

$\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}}$ defined as before. Then

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \phi(\Delta_{\mathfrak{d}_i}^+) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_{\alpha}^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \phi(\pi_i)} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha} = \phi(\mathfrak{so}(2i)).$$

Moreover, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}} &= \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathbb{R}\{\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_{i+1}}, \dots, \sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_i}\} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Xi} (\mathbb{R}U_{\alpha}^0 + \mathbb{R}U_{\alpha}^1) \\ &\cong \mathfrak{so}(2i) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2(n-i)+1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Xi = \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid i < p \leq q \leq n\} \cup \{\tilde{\alpha}_{p,q} \mid i < p < q \leq n\}$. Hence the fibration given in (i) follows.

For the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{c}_n$ ($n \geq 2$),

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \overset{2}{\underset{\circ}{\alpha_1}} & \text{---} & \overset{2}{\underset{\circ}{\alpha_2}} & \text{---} & \dots & \text{---} & \overset{2}{\underset{\circ}{\alpha_{n-1}}} \longleftarrow \overset{1}{\underset{\circ}{\alpha_n}}, \end{array}$$

a set of positive roots is given by

$$\Delta^+ = \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid (1 \leq p \leq q \leq n), \tilde{\alpha}_{p,q} = \alpha_p + \dots + 2\alpha_q + \dots + 2\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n \mid (1 \leq p \leq q \leq n-1)\}.$$

The inner automorphism $\sigma = \text{Ad}_{\exp 2\pi\sqrt{-1}H}$, where $H = \frac{2}{3}H_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then

$$\Delta^+(H) = \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid 1 \leq p \leq q < i\} \cup \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid i < p \leq q \leq n\} \cup \{\tilde{\alpha}_{p,q} \mid i < p \leq q \leq n-1\}.$$

It implies that $\mathfrak{c}_n^{\sigma}$ is of type $\mathfrak{a}_{i-1} \otimes \mathfrak{c}_{n-i} \otimes \mathfrak{T}^1$. Hence M is the quotient manifold $Sp(n)/(U(i) \times Sp(n-i))$. Moreover, the subspace $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ of the vertical distribution has dimension $i(i+1)$ and is given by $\mathcal{V} = \{U_{\tilde{\alpha}_{p,q}}^a \mid 1 \leq p \leq q \leq i\}$, and $\Delta_2^+ = \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid 1 \leq p \leq q \leq i\}$.

Now, let $\Delta_{\mathfrak{c}_i}^+$ be the positive root set for $\mathfrak{c}_i$ generated by a system of simple roots $\pi_i = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_i\}$. We consider the bijection $\phi : \pi_i \rightarrow \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, \tilde{\alpha}_{i,i}\}$, given by $\phi(\beta_j) = \alpha_j$, $j = 1, \dots, i-1$, and $\phi(\beta_i) = \tilde{\alpha}_{i,i}$. Then ϕ can be extended by linearity to $\Delta_{\mathfrak{c}_i}^+ \rightarrow \Delta_2^+ \cup \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid 1 \leq p \leq q < i\}$ and gives a homomorphism from $\mathfrak{sp}(i)$ to the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_2^+ \cup \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid 1 \leq p \leq q < i\} \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_{\alpha}^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_2^+} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \phi(\Delta_{\mathfrak{c}_i}^+) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_{\alpha}^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \phi(\pi_i)} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha} = \phi(\mathfrak{sp}(i)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{V}} &= \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathbb{R}\{\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_{i+1}}, \dots, \sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_i}\} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Xi} (\mathbb{R}U_{\alpha}^0 + \mathbb{R}U_{\alpha}^1) \\ &\cong \mathfrak{sp}(i) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n-i), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Xi = \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid i < p \leq q \leq n\} \cup \{\tilde{\alpha}_{p,q} \mid i < p \leq q \leq n-1\}$. Hence we get the fibration given in (ii).

On $\mathfrak{d}_n$, the automorphism σ corresponds to $H = \frac{2}{3}H_i$, with $2 \leq i \leq n-2$. Then

$$\Delta^+(H) = \{\alpha_n, \alpha_{p,q} \mid (1 \leq p \leq q < i), \alpha_{p,q} \mid (i < p \leq q < n), \tilde{\alpha}_{p,q} \mid (i < p < q \leq n, p \leq n-2)\}.$$

Moreover, $\Delta_2^+ = \{\tilde{\alpha}_{p,q} \mid (1 \leq p < q \leq i)\}$ and $\dim \mathcal{V} = i(i-1)$. Let $\Delta_{\mathfrak{d}_i}^+$ be the positive root set for $\mathfrak{d}_i$ generated by a system of simple roots $\pi_i = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_i\}$. Let ϕ be the bijection $\phi : \pi_i \rightarrow \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, \tilde{\alpha}_{i-1,i}\}$, given by $\phi(\beta_i) = \alpha_i$, $i = 1, \dots, i-1$, and $\phi(\beta_i) = \tilde{\alpha}_{i-1,i}$. Then ϕ can be extended by linearity to $\Delta_{\mathfrak{d}_i}^+ \rightarrow \Delta_2^+ \cup \{\alpha_{p,q} \mid 1 \leq p \leq q < i\}$. Also denote by

the same letter ϕ the extended homomorphism from $\mathfrak{so}(2i)$ to the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V$ defined as before. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g}_V &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_2^+ \cup \{\alpha_{p,q} | 1 \leq p \leq q < i\}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_2^+} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \phi(\Delta_{\mathfrak{d}_i}^+) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \phi(\pi_i)} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha = \phi(\mathfrak{so}(2i)).\end{aligned}$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V &= \mathfrak{g}_V \oplus \mathbb{R}\{\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_{i+1}}, \dots, \sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_n}\} \oplus \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Xi \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a \\ &\cong \mathfrak{so}(2i) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2(n-i)),\end{aligned}$$

where $\Xi = \{\alpha_n, \alpha_{p,q} (i < p \leq q < n), \tilde{\alpha}_{p,q} (i < p < q \leq n, p \leq n-2)\}$. Hence we obtain the fibration given in (iii).

For the exceptional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_2$,

$$\mathfrak{g}_2 : \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ \circ \\ \alpha_1 \end{array} \Longleftarrow \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \circ \\ \alpha_2 \end{array},$$

a set of positive roots is given by $\Delta^+ = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2\}$, being $\mu = 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$ the maximal root. In this case $H = \frac{2}{3}H_2$, $\Delta_2^+ = \{\mu\}$ and $\Delta^+(H) = \{\alpha_1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g}_V &= \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\mu \oplus \mathbb{R}U_\mu^a \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) \cong \mathfrak{so}(3), \\ \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V &= \mathfrak{g}_V \oplus \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}U_{\alpha_1}^a \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2).\end{aligned}$$

Hence F is the 2-sphere S^2 and the fibering (iv) is obtained.

On $\mathfrak{f}_4$,

$$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \circ \\ \alpha_1 \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ \circ \\ \alpha_2 \end{array} \Longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ \circ \\ \alpha_3 \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \circ \\ \alpha_4 \end{array},$$

$\mu = 2\alpha_{1,4} + \alpha_{2,3} + \alpha_3$ and a set of positive roots is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^+ &= \{\alpha_{p,q} (1 \leq p \leq q \leq 4), \alpha_{2,3} + \alpha_3, \alpha_{1,q} + \alpha_{p,3} (p = 2, 3; q = 3, 4), \alpha_{2,4} + \alpha_{3,q} (q = 3, 4), \\ &\quad \alpha_{14} + \alpha_{p4} (p = 2, 3), \alpha_{1,4} + \alpha_{2,q} + \alpha_3 (q = 3, 4), \alpha_{1,4} + \alpha_{2,4} + \alpha_{p,3} + \alpha_3 (p = 2, 3), \mu\}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $H = \frac{2}{3}H_1$ or $H = \frac{2}{3}H_4$. For $H = \frac{2}{3}H_1$, one gets

$$\Delta^+(H) = \{\alpha_{p,q} (2 \leq p \leq q \leq 4), \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3, \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4\},$$

which coincides with a positive root set for $\mathfrak{c}_3$. Then, $\Delta_2^+ = \{\mu\}$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g}_V &= \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\mu \oplus \mathbb{R}U_\mu^a \cong \mathfrak{su}(2), \\ \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V &= \mathfrak{g}_V \oplus \mathbb{R}\{\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_2}, \sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_3}, \sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_4}\} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+(H)} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(3).\end{aligned}$$

>From here we get (v).

For $H = \frac{2}{3}H_4$, one gets

$$\Delta^+(H) = \{\alpha_{p,q} (1 \leq p \leq q \leq 3), \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3\}$$

and

$$\Delta_2^+ = \{\alpha_{2,4} + \alpha_{3,4}, \alpha_{1,4} + \alpha_{p,4} (p = 2, 3), \alpha_{1,4} + \alpha_{2,4} + \alpha_3, \alpha_{1,4} + \alpha_{2,4} + \alpha_{p,3} + \alpha_3 (p = 2, 3), \mu\}.$$

Hence

$$\mathfrak{g}_V = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta^+(H) \cup \Delta_2^+ \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a.$$

Put $\beta_1 = \alpha_{2,4} + \alpha_{3,4}$, $\beta_2 = \alpha_1$, $\beta_3 = \alpha_2$ and $\beta_4 = \alpha_3$. Then $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_4\}$ is a system of simple roots of $\mathfrak{b}_4$ and the positive root set generated by them coincides with $\Delta^+(H) \cup \Delta_2^+$. Hence $\mathfrak{g}_V = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V \cong \mathfrak{so}(9)$ and we obtain (vi).

On $\mathfrak{e}_6$, $H = \frac{2}{3}H_3$ and $H = \frac{2}{3}H_5$ determine conjugate automorphisms of Type A_3III . Also $H = \frac{2}{3}H_2$ determines an automorphism of Type A_3III . We start with $H = \frac{2}{3}H_3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^+(H) &= \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_{p,q} \ (4 \leq p \leq q \leq 6), \alpha_2 + \alpha_{4,p} \ (4 \leq p \leq 6)\}, \\ \Delta_2^+ &= \{\alpha_{1,5} + \alpha_{3,4}, \alpha_{1,6} + \alpha_{3,4}, \alpha_{1,6} + \alpha_{3,5}, \alpha_{1,6} + \alpha_{3,5} + \alpha_4, \mu\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_5}^+$ be the positive root set for $\mathfrak{a}_5$ generated by a system of simple roots $\pi_5 = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_5\}$. Let ϕ be the bijection $\phi : \pi_5 \rightarrow \{\alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6, \alpha_{1,5} + \alpha_{3,4}\}$, given by

$$\phi(\beta_1) = \alpha_2, \quad \phi(\beta_2) = \alpha_4, \quad \phi(\beta_3) = \alpha_5, \quad \phi(\beta_4) = \alpha_6, \quad \phi(\beta_5) = \alpha_{1,5} + \alpha_{3,4}.$$

Then ϕ can be extended by linearity to $\Delta_{\mathfrak{a}_5}^+ \rightarrow \Delta_2^+ \cup (\Delta^+(H) \setminus \{\alpha_1\})$. Also denote by the same letter ϕ the extended homomorphism from $\mathfrak{so}(6)$ to the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V$. Then

$$\mathfrak{g}_V = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_2^+ \cup (\Delta^+(H) \setminus \{\alpha_1\}) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_2^+} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha \cong \mathfrak{su}(6).$$

Moreover, we get

$$\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V = \mathfrak{g}_V \oplus \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}U_{\alpha_1}^0 \oplus \mathbb{R}U_{\alpha_1}^1 \cong \mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2).$$

Hence we get the fibration given in (vii).

Next we consider $H = \frac{2}{3}H_2$. Then

$$\Delta^+(H) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_{p,q} \ (3 \leq p \leq q \leq 6), \alpha_1 + \alpha_{3,p} \ (3 \leq p \leq 6)\}$$

and $\Delta_2^+ = \{\mu\}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{g}_V = \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\mu \oplus \mathbb{R}U_\mu^0 \oplus \mathbb{R}U_\mu^1 \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) \cong \mathfrak{so}(3).$$

Since $\Delta^+(H)$ is a root set for $\mathfrak{a}_5$ with simple system $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_6\}$, we have

$$\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V \cong \mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2).$$

This gives the fibration in (viii).

On $\mathfrak{e}_7$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \overset{2}{\circ} & & & \\ & & & \alpha_2 & & & \\ & & & | & & & \\ \overset{1}{\circ} & \text{---} & \overset{2}{\circ} & \text{---} & \overset{3}{\circ} & \text{---} & \overset{4}{\circ} & \text{---} & \overset{3}{\circ} & \text{---} & \overset{2}{\circ} \\ \alpha_7 & & \alpha_6 & & \alpha_5 & & \alpha_4 & & \alpha_3 & & \alpha_1 \end{array}$$

a positive system of roots is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^+ = & \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \alpha_{p,q} (3 \leq p \leq q \leq 7); \alpha_{1,p}, \alpha_{2,p} (4 \leq p \leq 7); \alpha_1 + \alpha_{3,p} (3 \leq p \leq 7); \\ & \alpha_2 + \alpha_{4,p} (4 \leq p \leq 7); \alpha_4 + \alpha_{p,q} (p = 1, 2; q = 5, 6, 7); \alpha_{1,6} + \alpha_{p,q} (3 \leq p < q \leq 5); \\ & \alpha_{2,p} + \alpha_{4,5} (p = 6, 7), \alpha_{4,6} + \alpha_{2,7}, \alpha_{1,7} + \alpha_{p,6} (p = 3, 4), \alpha_{1,7} + \alpha_{p,q} (3 \leq p < q \leq 5), \\ & \alpha_{1,5} + \alpha_{3,4}, \alpha_{1,6} + \alpha_{p,5} + \alpha_4 (p = 2, 3), \alpha_{1,7} + \alpha_{p,q} + \alpha_4 (p = 2, 3; q = 5, 6), \\ & \alpha_{1,7} + \alpha_{p,6} + \alpha_{4,5} (p = 2, 3), \alpha_{1,7} + \alpha_{2,6} + \alpha_{p,5} + \alpha_4 (p = 3, 4), \mu\}. \end{aligned}$$

The possible automorphisms of Type A_3III are determined by $H = \frac{2}{3}H_1$, $H = \frac{2}{3}H_2$ or $H = \frac{2}{3}H_6$.

If $H = \frac{2}{3}H_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^+(H) = & \{\alpha_2; \alpha_{p,q} (3 \leq p \leq q \leq 7); \alpha_{2,p} (4 \leq p \leq 7); \alpha_2 + \alpha_{4,p} (4 \leq p \leq 7); \\ & \alpha_4 + \alpha_{2,q} (q = 5, 6, 7); \alpha_{2,p} + \alpha_{4,5} (p = 6, 7), \alpha_{4,6} + \alpha_{2,7}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\Delta_2^+ = \{\mu\}$. Hence, as before, $\mathfrak{g}_V \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)$. From Dynkin diagrams, $\Delta^+(H)$ is a root set for $\mathfrak{d}_5$ with simple system $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_6\}$, where $\beta_k = \alpha_{8-k}$, $k = 1, \dots, 6$. Then we have

$$\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V \cong \mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2).$$

This gives the fibration in (ix).

If $H = \frac{2}{3}H_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^+(H) = & \{\alpha_1, \alpha_{p,q} (3 \leq p \leq q \leq 7); \alpha_1 + \alpha_{3,p} (3 \leq p \leq 7)\}, \\ \Delta_2^+ = & \{\alpha_{1,6} + \alpha_{2,5} + \alpha_4, \alpha_{1,7} + \alpha_{2,q} + \alpha_4 (q = 5, 6), \alpha_{1,7} + \alpha_{2,6} + \alpha_{4,5}, \\ & \alpha_{1,7} + \alpha_{2,6} + \alpha_{p,5} + \alpha_4 (p = 3, 4), \mu\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathfrak{g}_V = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta^+(H) \cup \Delta_2^+ \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a.$$

Put $\beta_1 = \alpha_1$, $\beta_2 = \alpha_3$, $\beta_3 = \alpha_4$, $\beta_4 = \alpha_5$, $\beta_5 = \alpha_6$, $\beta_6 = \alpha_7$ and $\beta_7 = \alpha_{1,6} + \alpha_{2,5} + \alpha_4$. Then $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_7\}$ is a system of simple roots of $\mathfrak{a}_7$ and the positive root set generated by them coincides with $\Delta^+(H) \cup \Delta_2^+$. Hence $\mathfrak{g}_V = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V \cong \mathfrak{su}(8)$ and we obtain (x).

If $H = \frac{2}{3}H_6$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^+(H) = & \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \alpha_{p,q} (3 \leq p \leq q \leq 5); \alpha_7, \alpha_{1,p}, \alpha_{2,p} (p = 4, 5); \alpha_1 + \alpha_{3,p} (p = 3, 4, 5); \\ & \alpha_2 + \alpha_{4,p} (p = 4, 5); \alpha_4 + \alpha_{p,5} (p = 1, 2); \alpha_{1,5} + \alpha_{3,4}\}, \\ \Delta_2^+ = & \{\alpha_{2,7} + \alpha_{4,6}, \alpha_{1,7} + \alpha_{p,6} (p = 3, 4), \alpha_{1,7} + \alpha_{p,6} + \alpha_4 (p = 2, 3), \\ & \alpha_{1,7} + \alpha_{p,6} + \alpha_{4,5} (p = 2, 3), \alpha_{1,7} + \alpha_{2,6} + \alpha_{p,5} + \alpha_4 (p = 3, 4), \mu\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{g}_V = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_2^+ \cup (\Delta^+(H) \setminus \{\alpha_7\}) \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_\alpha^a + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_2^+} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha.$$

Let $\Delta_{\mathfrak{d}_6}^+$ be the positive root set for $\mathfrak{d}_6$ generated by a system of simple roots $\pi_6 = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_6\}$. Let ϕ be the bijection $\phi: \pi_6 \rightarrow \{\alpha_{2,7} + \alpha_{4,6}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5\}$, given by

$$\phi(\beta_1) = \alpha_{2,7} + \alpha_{4,6}, \quad \phi(\beta_2) = \alpha_1, \quad \phi(\beta_3) = \alpha_3, \quad \phi(\beta_4) = \alpha_4, \quad \phi(\beta_5) = \alpha_2, \quad \phi(\beta_6) = \alpha_5.$$

Then ϕ can be extended by linearity to $\Delta_{\mathfrak{d}_6}^+ \rightarrow \Delta_2^+ \cup (\Delta^+(H) \setminus \{\alpha_7\})$. This implies that $\mathfrak{g}_V \cong \mathfrak{so}(12)$. Moreover, we get

$$\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V = \mathfrak{g}_V \oplus \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_{\alpha_7} \oplus \mathbb{R}U_{\alpha_7}^0 \oplus \mathbb{R}U_{\alpha_7}^1 \cong \mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2).$$

Hence we get the fibration given in (xi).

On $\mathfrak{e}_8$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} & & & & & & \overset{3}{\circ} & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \alpha_2 & & & & & \\ & & & & & & | & & & & & \\ \overset{2}{\circ} & \text{---} & \overset{3}{\circ} & \text{---} & \overset{4}{\circ} & \text{---} & \overset{5}{\circ} & \text{---} & \overset{6}{\circ} & \text{---} & \overset{4}{\circ} & \text{---} & \overset{2}{\circ} \\ \alpha_8 & & \alpha_7 & & \alpha_6 & & \alpha_5 & & \alpha_4 & & \alpha_3 & & \alpha_1 \end{array}$$

we consider the automorphisms σ of Type A_3III determined by $H = \frac{2}{3}H_1$ and $H = \frac{2}{3}H_8$.

If $H = \frac{2}{3}H_8$, we get that $\Delta^+(H)$ is the set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{e}_7$ given below and

$$\Delta^+ = \Delta^+(H) \cup \{\mu\} \cup \{\alpha, \mu - \alpha\},$$

where $\mu = 2\alpha_{1,8} + \alpha_{2,7} + \alpha_{3,6} + \alpha_{4,5} + \alpha_4$ and α is any element of the following set:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\alpha_{p,8} \ (1 \leq p \leq 8), \alpha_{1,8} + \alpha_{3,q}, \alpha_{1,8} + \alpha_{4,q}, \alpha_{2,8} + \alpha_{4,q} \ (4 \leq q \leq 7), \alpha_{3,8} + \alpha_1, \alpha_{4,8} + \alpha_2, \\ &\alpha_{1,8} + \alpha_{3,q} + \alpha_4 \ (5 \leq q \leq 7), \alpha_{1,8} + \alpha_{2,q} + \alpha_4 \ (5 \leq q \leq 6), \alpha_{1,8} + \alpha_{2,6} + \alpha_{4,5}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta_2^+ = \{\mu\}$, $\mathfrak{g}_V \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)$ and then $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V \cong \mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$. This gives the fibration in (xii).

If $H = \frac{2}{3}H_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^+(H) &= \{\alpha_2, \alpha_{p,q} \ (3 \leq p \leq q \leq 8), \alpha_{2,p} \ (4 \leq p \leq 8), \alpha_4 + \alpha_{2,p} \ (5 \leq p \leq 8), \\ &\alpha_2 + \alpha_{4,p} \ (4 \leq p \leq 8), \alpha_{2,p} + \alpha_{4,5} \ (6 \leq p \leq 8), \alpha_{2,p} + \alpha_{4,6} \ (p = 7, 8), \alpha_{2,8} + \alpha_{4,7}\}, \\ \Delta_2^+ &= \{\mu, \mu - \alpha\}, \end{aligned}$$

where α is any element of

$$\{\alpha_2, \alpha_{p,q} \ (3 \leq p \leq q \leq 8), \alpha_{2,p} \ (4 \leq p \leq 8), \alpha_2 + \alpha_{4,8}, \alpha_{2,8} + \alpha_{4,p} \ (4 \leq p \leq 8)\}.$$

Hence

$$\mathfrak{g}_V = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta^+(H) \cup \Delta_2^+ \\ a=0,1}} \mathbb{R}U_{\alpha}^a.$$

Put $\beta_1 = \mu - (\alpha_{2,8} + \alpha_{4,8}) = \alpha_{1,7} + \alpha_{1,6} + \alpha_{3,5} + \alpha_4$ and $\beta_k = \alpha_{10-k}$, for $k \in \{2, \dots, 8\}$. Then $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_8\}$ is a system of simple roots of $\mathfrak{d}_8$ and the positive root set generated by them coincides with $\Delta^+(H) \cup \Delta_2^+$. Hence $\mathfrak{g}_V = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_V \cong \mathfrak{so}(16)$ and we obtain the fibration in (xiii). $\square$

Acknowledgements. Research supported by grants from MICINN (Spain) projects MTM2007-65852 and MTM2009-13383.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Alexandrov, G. Grantcharov and S. Ivanov, Curvature Properties of Twistor Spaces of Quaternionic Kähler Manifolds, *J. Geom.* **62** (1998), 1–12.
- [2] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, vol. 10, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1987.
- [3] Th. Bröcker and T. tom Dieck, *Representations of compact Lie groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 98, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

- [4] F. E. Burstall, S. Gutt and J. H. Rawnsley, Twistor spaces for Riemannian symmetric spaces, *Math. Ann.* **295** (1993), 729-743.
- [5] J.-B. Butruille, Classification des variétés approximativement kähleriennes homogènes, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **27**(2005), 201-225.
- [6] J.-B. Butruille, Twistors and 3-symmetric spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **96**(2008), 738-766.
- [7] R. Cleyton, A. Swann, Einstein metrics via intrinsic or parallel torsion, *Math. Z.* **247**(2004), 513-528.
- [8] J.C. González-Dávila, F. Martín Cabrera, Harmonic G -structures, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **146** (2008), 435-459.
- [9] A. Gray, Riemannian manifolds with geodesic symmetries of order 3, *J. Differ. Geom.* **7** (1972), 343-369.
- [10] A. Gray, The structure of Nearly Kähler Manifolds, *Math. Ann.* **223** (1976), 233-248.
- [11] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [12] N. Hitchin, Kählerian twistor spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **43** (3) (1981), 133-150.
- [13] V. F. Kirichenko, K -spaces of maximal rank. *Mat. Zametki* **22** (1977), 465-476.
- [14] O. Kowalski, *Generalized symmetric spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **805**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1980).
- [15] P.A. Nagy, On nearly Kähler geometry, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **22** (2002), 167-178.
- [16] P.A. Nagy, Nearly Kähler geometry and Riemannian foliations, *Asian J. Math.* **6** (2002), 481-504.
- [17] K. Nomizu, Invariant affine connections on homogeneous spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **76** (1954), 33-65.
- [18] N. R. O'Brian and J. H. Rawnsley, Twistor spaces, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **3** (1) (1985), 29-58.
- [19] A. Sagle, A note on triple systems and totally geodesic submanifolds in a homogeneous space, *Nagoya Math. J.* **91** (1968), 5-20.
- [20] K. Tojo, Kähler C -spaces and k -symmetric spaces, *Osaka J. Math.*, **34** (1997), 803-820.
- [21] F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, *Homogeneous structures on Riemannian manifolds*, London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Series **83**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [22] Y. Watanabe and K. Takamatsu, On a K -space of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.* **25** (1973), 297-306.
- [23] J.A. Wolf and A. Gray, Homogeneous spaces defined by Lie group automorphisms, I, II, *J. Differ. Geom.* **2** (1968), 77-159.
- [24] K. Yano, Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces. *International Series of Monographs in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 49, Pergamon Press, New York, 1965.

(J. C. González Dávila) DEPARTMENT OF FUNDAMENTAL MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LA LAGUNA, 38200 LA LAGUNA, TENERIFE, SPAIN

E-mail address: jcgonza@ull.es

(F. Martín Cabrera) DEPARTMENT OF FUNDAMENTAL MATHEMATICS, CSIC ASSOCIATED UNITY, UNIVERSITY OF LA LAGUNA, 38200 LA LAGUNA, TENERIFE, SPAIN

E-mail address: fmartin@ull.es